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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The State of Illinois FY2019 Roadmap describes the State of Illinois’ fiscal condition and presents the Civic Federation’s proposed five-year plan to stabilize the State’s finances. The report is published annually before the Governor’s annual budget address for consideration by the Governor and General Assembly during upcoming budget deliberations.1

After enduring two years without a complete budget, the State of Illinois is finally operating with an annual revenue and spending plan. The budget for fiscal year 2018—passed over the veto of Governor Bruce Rauner—provided for higher taxes to reduce the structural deficit. The FY2018 budget also authorized the sale of bonds to shrink the massive backlog of unpaid bills and curtail punishing late-payment fees.

Although the immediate crisis has abated, significant problems remain. Despite a permanent increase in income tax rates, the FY2018 budget has an estimated operating deficit of at least $1.5 billion, and deficits are projected to continue for the foreseeable future.2 The State’s unpaid bills are substantially reduced from $14.7 billion at the end of FY2017, but the backlog is still expected to stand at $7.5 billion when the current fiscal year ends on June 30, 2018 and is projected to grow to $13.7 billion by FY2023.

The biggest challenge continues to be staggering public employee pension costs, which are difficult to reduce due to State constitutional protections as interpreted by the Illinois Supreme Court. Pension savings were achieved in FY2018 by pushing required contributions down the road, further delaying adequate funding of the State’s retirement systems. At the end of FY2017, the five funds had $129.1 billion in unfunded liabilities; only about 40% of promised pension benefits were covered by pension assets.3

Illinois still has the lowest credit rating of any state. While enactment of an FY2018 budget prevented further descent to junk status, credit rating firms remain concerned about pension debt, unpaid bills and the political gridlock that led to the two-year budget impasse.4 Recent Illinois bond documents included the following warning to potential investors: “There can be no assurance that a budget will be enacted in future fiscal years.”5

In addition to these financial challenges, Illinois’s public university system faces declining enrollment and high tuition. Finally, Illinois has not had a comprehensive capital plan since

---

1 Governor Bruce Rauner is scheduled to present his budget proposal for FY2019 on February 14, 2018. The State of Illinois’ fiscal year begins on July 1 and ends on June 30.
3 Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecast and Accountability, Monthly Briefing for the Month Ended: November 2017, p. 2, http://cgfa.ilga.gov/Upload/1117revenue_special_pension_briefing.pdf (last accessed on February 8, 2018). The unfunded liability figure is based on the market value of assets; the comparable number based on the smoothed or actuarial value of assets is $128.9 billion.
FY2010, and existing revenues are insufficient to address infrastructure needs throughout the State.

The Civic Federation’s comprehensive plan would eliminate the operating deficit in FY2019. The backlog of bills would be cleared by FY2023, allowing the State to begin building the kind of rainy day fund needed to protect against future financial shocks.

Spending controls are at the center of the Federation’s plan, but more revenue is also needed to close the FY2019 operating deficit, pay off the State’s accumulated bills and address Illinois’ other pressing challenges. After the tax changes in FY2017, the Federation is aware that further increases would not be welcomed by many residents and elected officials. The plan presented here focuses on broadening the tax base in ways that could lead to lower rates in the future.

Civic Federation Recommendations

The Civic Federation offers the following recommendations to begin stabilizing the State of Illinois’ financial position:

**Issue 1: Spending Controls**

The Civic Federation recommends that the State of Illinois limit net agency spending growth to 2.1% annually through at least FY2023.

**Issue 2: Interest Penalties on Overdue Bills**

The Civic Federation recommends that the State reduce the late payment penalty in the Prompt Payment Act to a rate that reflects lower economy-wide rates of return, such as the five-year Treasury rate plus one percentage point. The legislature and Governor should also consider a reduction in the timely payment rate in the Insurance Code.

**Issue 3: Retirement Income Exclusion**

The Civic Federation recommends that the State of Illinois broaden its income tax base by eliminating the tax exclusion for all federally taxable retirement income. This will enhance the State’s fiscal stability by providing access to a faster growing portion of the income tax base, generating FY2019 revenues of over $2.5 billion.

**Issue 4: Sales Tax on Services**

The Civic Federation recommends that the State of Illinois expand the sales tax base to include the fourteen services taxed by the State of Wisconsin.

**Issue 5: Rainy Day Fund**

The State of Illinois should work toward building a rainy day fund equal to 10% of General Funds revenues to cushion the budget from the next economic downturn. Legislation must explicitly indicate when deposits will be made and in what amount and the circumstances under which withdrawals will be allowed.
**Issue 6: Constitutional Amendment to Clarify the Pension Protection Clause**

The Illinois General Assembly should vote to place a Constitutional amendment on the ballot no later than the 2020 general election that would clarify the pension protection clause and allow reasonable, moderate changes to current employee and retiree benefits necessary to secure the financial sustainability of the State and local governments and the pension systems themselves.

**Issue 7: Supplemental Pension Payments**

In order to mitigate the underfunding of the State’s pension systems due to inadequate statutory payments, the Civic Federation recommends requiring annual supplemental payments of $600 million from FY2020 through FY2034 and $481 million in FY2035. The supplemental payments would replace debt service on maturing pension obligation bonds.

**Issue 8: Merger of the Chicago and State Teachers’ Pension Funds**

The Civic Federation recommends that the Chicago Teachers’ Pension Fund (CTPF) be consolidated with the Teachers’ Retirement System (TRS) and that the State assume responsibility for the unfunded liability of CTPF. The Federation also recommends that the Chicago Public Schools resume paying for the normal cost of Chicago teachers’ pensions and that responsibility for the normal cost of pensions for all teachers outside of Chicago be shifted from the State of Illinois to local school districts over three years.

**Issue 9: Restructuring Illinois’ Public University System**

The Civic Federation recommends that the Governor create a bipartisan commission to address the need to eliminate duplicative university programs, more effectively allocate resources across programs and campuses and study the potential need to close or consolidate campuses. The Federation also recommends that the nine universities be governed by a single Board of Trustees to facilitate the establishment of statewide goals and rational allocation of State resources.

**Issue 10: Comprehensive Capital Improvement Planning and Funding**

The Civic Federation recommends that the State of Illinois initiate a new Capital Improvement Plan that comprehensively assesses and prioritizes infrastructure needs. The road and transit portion of the plan should be initially funded by an increase in the motor fuel tax, which has not been raised since 1990. The State should further consider vehicle miles traveled and congestion taxes to ensure the long-term sustainability of transportation funding revenues.

**Issue 11: Consolidating and Streamlining Government Units in Illinois**

In addition to recommending the merger of CTPF with TRS, the Civic Federation supports the following government consolidation initiatives:

- Consolidate local pension funds;
- Merge the offices of the Illinois Comptroller and Treasurer;
- Authorize any township to be dissolved by referendum;
- Consolidate property tax administration roles in Cook County; and
- Dissolve the Illinois International Port District.
Future Changes

Once the State pays off its unpaid bill backlog and begins to make progress toward building a rainy day fund, it should pursue the following measures to give the State’s finances more long-term sustainability:

- Return the lapse period to two months from six;
- Phase-out Section 25 liabilities and other practices that allow current years’ costs to be paid from future years’ appropriations;
- Shift normal pension costs from the State to public universities and community colleges; and
- Consider a modestly graduated income tax rate structure with a maximum spread of 3.0 percentage points that could generate additional revenues and lower rates for low income taxpayers, while protecting against excessive disparities and rate increases. Such a change would require an amendment to the Illinois Constitution.

Civic Federation Findings

- Income tax changes enacted in FY2018 are expected to generate about $5.0 billion in additional General Funds revenues in FY2018. The year-to-year revenue increase appears artificially low because of changes to the distribution of income taxes to local governments that affected base revenues.
- The FY2018 deficit, projected by the Governor’s Office of Management and Budget at $1.5 billion, could be higher if the State fails to collect $300 million from the sale of the James R. Thompson Center or appropriates $445 million for Medicaid that was left out of the FY2018 appropriations bill. It is unclear to what extent interest penalty payments on overdue bills have been included in the FY2018 estimate. Other factors, such as speedier federal Medicaid reimbursement, could lower the deficit.
- The sale of backlog reduction bonds cleared $8.7 billion in bills, or about $1.6 billion more than expected, due to federal Medicaid reimbursements and premiums paid by bond investors. As a result, the year-end FY2018 backlog could be below the previously forecasted $7.5 billion, but the outcome will depend on uncertainties involving the FY2018 operating deficit.
- Illinois spent $1.6 billion on late payment interest penalties from FY2008 through January 2018. Through the first seven months of FY2018, State spending on interest penalties of $337.9 million has already set a new yearly high and hundreds of millions of dollars in accrued interest penalties remain unpaid.
- General Funds pension costs—including debt payments on pension bonds—rose from 6.8% of expenditures in FY2006 to 22.9% in FY2018. State pension contributions stabilized in FY2018 because of statutory changes that pushed required payments into the future but are projected to increase significantly in FY2019.
- General Funds non-pension appropriations for elementary and secondary education on an average annual basis from FY2016 to FY2018 increased by 10.7% compared with the funding level in FY2015.
- Annual non-pension higher education funding from FY2016 through FY2018 averaged just below 80% of the FY2015 level, with Monetary Award Program tuition grants one of the few areas receiving funding at the FY2015 level.
According to the Illinois Department of Transportation, maintaining Illinois’ existing highway and transit infrastructure will require approximately $1.7 billion in additional annual funding. Additionally, the State faces a $7.0 billion backlog of deferred maintenance at State facilities.
BUDGET OVERVIEW

After enduring two years without a complete budget, the State of Illinois is finally operating with an annual revenue and spending plan. The budget for fiscal year 2018—passed over the veto of Governor Bruce Rauner—provided for higher taxes to reduce the structural deficit. The FY2018 budget also authorized the sale of bonds to shrink the massive backlog of unpaid bills and curtail punishing late-payment fees.

Although the immediate crisis has abated, significant problems remain. Despite a permanent increase in income tax rates, the FY2018 budget has an estimated operating deficit of at least $1.5 billion. The State’s unpaid bills are substantially reduced from $14.7 billion at the end of FY2017, but the backlog is still expected to stand at $7.5 billion when the current fiscal year ends on June 30, 2018.

Illinois’ biggest challenge continues to be staggering public employee pension costs, which are difficult to reduce due to State constitutional protections as interpreted by the Illinois Supreme Court. Pension savings were achieved in FY2018 by pushing required contributions down the road, further delaying adequate funding of the State’s retirement systems. At the end of FY2017, the State’s five funds had $129.1 billion in unfunded liabilities; only about 40% of promised pension benefits were covered by pension assets.

Illinois still has the lowest credit rating of any state. While enactment of an FY2018 budget prevented further descent to junk status, credit rating firms remain concerned about pension debt, unpaid bills and the political gridlock that led to the two-year budget impasse. Recent Illinois bond documents included the following warning to potential investors: “There can be no assurance that a budget will be enacted in future fiscal years.”

Revenues

Illinois’ prolonged budget impasse stemmed from a failure to deal with the drop in revenues caused by a partial rollback of income tax rates in January 2015. Governor Rauner, a Republican who took office at the beginning of 2015, has made pro-business reforms a condition for approving additional revenues, but Democrats who control the General Assembly have not agreed to those terms.

---


7 Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecast and Accountability, Monthly Briefing for the Month Ended: November 2017, p. 2, http://cgfa.ilga.gov/Upload/1117revenue_special_pension_briefing.pdf (last accessed on February 8, 2018). The unfunded liability figure is based on the market value of assets; the comparable number based on the smoothed or actuarial value of assets is $128.9 billion.


10 Governor Rauner’s policy agenda has varied somewhat over time but has generally included a freeze on property taxes coupled with restrictions on public union collective bargaining, changes to the workers’ compensation system for employees hurt on the job and term limits for lawmakers.
Income tax rates had been raised temporarily to offset a steep decline in economically sensitive State revenues related to the Great Recession.\(^{11}\) In January 2011, individual income tax rates were temporarily increased to 5.0% from 3.0% and corporate tax rates were raised to 7.0% from 4.8%.\(^{12}\) Rates automatically declined to 3.75% for individuals and 5.25% for corporations in January 2015.

Because the revenue loss covered only half the fiscal year in 2015, the State was able to plug that year’s budget hole by using one-time measures, including transfers to General Funds from balances built up in other State accounts and advance funding of Medicaid expenses from the prior year’s revenues.\(^{13}\) The challenge of balancing the budget was greater in FY2016, the year of Governor Rauner’s first budget proposal, because it was the first full fiscal year with lower income tax rates.

Tax rates were not raised until the next complete budget—for FY2018—was enacted on July 6, 2017, five days after the start of the current fiscal year.\(^{14}\) Effective on July 1, individual income tax rates were increased to 4.95% from 3.75% and corporate tax rates to 7.0% from 5.25%. The permanent increases are expected to bring in nearly $5 billion in additional revenue in FY2018.\(^{15}\)

The additional income tax revenue in FY2018 includes $4.5 billion due to higher individual income tax rates and $514 million due to higher corporate tax rates, according to the legislature’s Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability (COGFA).\(^{16}\) The FY2018 budget also established income limits on certain individual credits and exemptions, which were offset by increases in the earned income tax credit and instructional materials credit. Various corporate deductions and exemptions, including the domestic production activities deduction, were

\(^{11}\) The recession began in December 2007 and ended in June 2009, according to the National Bureau of Economic Research.

\(^{12}\) In addition to these rates, corporations pay a Personal Property Replacement Tax (PPRT) of 2.5%, which was not affected by the income tax rate changes. The PPRT, which was created by the Illinois General Assembly in 1970 to replace a tax on the personal property of businesses that was abolished pursuant to the 1970 Illinois Constitution, is mainly a revenue source for local governments.

\(^{13}\) State of Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series of November 2016, *Official Statement*, November 8, 2016, pp. 17-18. General Funds support the regular operating and administrative expenses of most agencies and are the funds over which the State has the most control. Prior to FY2018, General Funds consisted of the General Revenue Fund, Education Assistance Fund, Common School Fund and General Revenue-Common School Special Account Fund. Three additional accounts—the Fund for the Advancement of Education, Commitment to Human Services Fund and Budget Stabilization Fund—were added to General Funds in FY2018. In this report, the numbers for prior years have been adjusted to reflect the change in FY2018.

\(^{14}\) The revenue component of the FY2018 budget legislation was Public Act 100-0022. The budget also included Public Act 100-0021 (appropriations) and Public Act 100-0023 (statutory changes required for implementation). Funding for elementary and secondary education also required the enactment of Public Act 100-0065, which revised the State’s system for funding school districts.


\(^{16}\) Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability, *State of Illinois Budget Summary Fiscal Year 2018*, Updated September 5, 2017, p. 25. These amounts are net of deductions to pay for income tax refunds.
eliminated, but the research and development credit, which expired in 2015, was reinstated and extended through 2021.

The following chart shows General Funds income tax revenues from FY2008 to FY2018. Income tax revenues dropped by 19.0% from $12.2 billion in FY2008 to $9.9 billion in FY2010 as a result of the recession. After the rate increases in 2011, the revenues more than doubled to $19.8 billion in FY2014 before declining to $15.0 billion in FY2017 due to the subsequent rate reductions. Income tax revenues are expected to total $19.5 billion in FY2018 after the recently enacted changes and account for about 54% of all General Funds resources.


*Includes revenues allocated to Fund for the Advancement of Education and Commitment to Human Services Fund beginning in February 2015. Income tax amounts are net of diversions to the Income Tax Refund Fund.
**Corporations also pay a Personal Property Replacement Tax of 2.5%.
***FY2018 base income taxes are reduced by $1.1 billion due to direct deposit of revenues to the Local Government Distributive Fund.
****Includes additional revenue due to income tax rate increases and certain other changes.


It should be noted that the FY2018 income tax revenue total is not strictly comparable to prior-year figures because of a change in the way the State distributes income taxes to local governments. Municipalities and counties in Illinois receive 10% of income taxes generated under the rates in effect prior to the 2011 increases; in other words, local governments have not shared in the revenues resulting from the higher rates. As part of the FY2018 budget, the local share is now deposited directly into the Local Government Distributive Fund instead of first being deposited into General Funds and then transferred to that special account. The change, which was intended to speed up payments to local governments, reduced General Funds
revenues by $1.1 billion in FY2018. The FY2018 budget also cut the local distribution by 10% in that year only.

Similarly, sales tax revenues for public transit districts were reduced by 10% in FY2018 and are now deposited directly into special accounts, decreasing General Funds revenues by about $449 million in FY2018. The FY2018 budget also eliminated the 20% per gallon sales tax break on gasohol, which increased sales tax revenues by an estimated $100 million in that year. The budget extended the sales tax exemption on manufacturing, machinery and equipment to graphic arts and printing equipment, reducing sales tax revenues by an estimated $10 million.

The Governor’s Office of Management and Budget (GOMB) estimates total FY2018 General Funds revenues at $35.9 billion, an increase of $5.6 billion, or 18.3%, from $30.3 billion in FY2017. The FY2018 total includes $300 million from the sale of the James R. Thompson Center in Chicago, a plan that was also part of the Governor’s proposed FY2018 budget.

---

22 Illinois State FY2018 Budget, pp. 44 and 76, https://www2.illinois.gov/sites/budget/Documents/Budget%20Book/FY2018%20Budget%20Book/FY2018OperatingBudgetBook.pdf (last accessed on February 8, 2018). Net proceeds from the sale after relocation expenses were estimated at $240 million.
The following chart compares General Funds revenues in FY2017 and FY2018. As previously discussed, the FY2018 numbers for income taxes and sales taxes are reduced due to the direct deposit of revenues shared with local governments, which is estimated to total $1.6 billion. Federal revenues, which consist mainly of federal reimbursements for State Medicaid spending are expected to increase by more than $1 billion due to improved liquidity and legal payment requirements related to federal consent decrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State of Illinois General Funds Estimated Revenues</th>
<th>FY2017 Preliminary</th>
<th>FY2018 Estimated</th>
<th>$ Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Individual Income Taxes</td>
<td>$13,661</td>
<td>$17,250</td>
<td>$3,589</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Corporate Income Taxes</td>
<td>$1,332</td>
<td>$1,882</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Sales Taxes</td>
<td>$8,043</td>
<td>$7,970</td>
<td>$(73)</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other State Resources</td>
<td>$4,814</td>
<td>$5,241</td>
<td>$427</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Source Revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>$27,850</strong></td>
<td><strong>$32,343</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,493</strong></td>
<td><strong>16.1%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Revenues</td>
<td>$2,483</td>
<td>$3,556</td>
<td>$1,073</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>$30,333</strong></td>
<td><strong>$35,899</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,566</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.3%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: State of Illinois, Governor’s Office of Management and Budget, Financial Walk Down (General Funds), October 11, 2017; Communication with Governor’s Office of Management and Budget.

**Expenditures**

While State tax collections were shrinking due to the Great Recession, statutorily required State pension contributions were climbing. General Funds pension contributions rose from $1.6 billion in FY2008 to $4.0 billion in FY2010 and are estimated at about $7.0 billion in FY2018.

Illinois’ five retirement systems—the Teachers’, State Employees’, State Universities, Judges’ and General Assembly Retirement Systems—are on a 50-year funding plan that began in FY1996 and is intended to achieve 90% funding by FY2045. The plan deferred contributions to the future, resulting in steeply increasing State payments and an unfunded liability that is not expected to stop growing until FY2028.

Illinois sold bonds in 2003, 2010 and 2011 to bolster pension assets and pay for annual pension contributions. Debt service on previously issued pension bonds rose to $1.6 billion in FY2018 from $467 million in FY2008, increasing total pension-related payments to $8.6 billion in FY2018 from $2.1 billion in FY2008.

---


General Funds spending not related to pensions has been relatively flat during the same period, at an estimated $28.8 billion in FY2018, compared with $28.3 billion in FY2008. The Consumer Price Index rose 12.7% from June 2008 through December 2017.\(^{26}\)

The next chart shows General Funds pension-related costs from FY2008 through FY2018 and compares pension and non-pension costs as a percentage of General Funds spending. Pension costs are expected to account for 22.9% of all General Funds spending in FY2018, up from 6.8% in FY2008. Non-pension costs have declined to an estimated 77.1% of spending from 93.2%. In FY2016 and FY2017, pension costs accounted for an elevated share of total spending due to reduced non-pension spending during the two-year budget impasse.

While this analysis shows the increasing share of General Funds spending consumed by pensions, several caveats are in order. The spending numbers above do not account for annual costs that are incurred but not reflected in the budget. These unappropriated expenses were a major issue during the two years that the State lacked a complete budget and will be discussed in connection with the backlog of unpaid bills below. In addition, the analysis does not reflect increased spending outside of General Funds. Medicaid spending from other State funds rose

---

from $2.8 billion in FY2008 to an estimated $3.5 billion in FY2017; these figures do not include federal reimbursements.\(^{27}\)

Total General Funds spending in FY2018 is estimated at $37.4 billion, an increase of $1.7 billion, or 4.8% from $35.7 billion in FY2017.\(^{28}\) As with the revenue numbers discussed above, FY2018 expenditures are not strictly comparable to prior-year figures because of the direct deposit of income taxes and sales taxes to special accounts for local governments and transit districts.

**FY2018 Spending: Pensions**

State pension contributions stabilized in FY2018 due to statutory changes included in the budget legislation.\(^{29}\) The main change involved smoothing of State contributions, which is intended to moderate the budgetary impact of new actuarial assumptions adopted by the retirement systems. Governor Rauner proposed pension payment smoothing in each of his three recommended budgets.\(^{30}\)

Before the smoothing provisions were enacted, the State’s total FY2018 contributions were expected to increase by nearly $1 billion from FY2017 because of revised actuarial assumptions by the Teachers’ fund, known as TRS, and the State Employees’ system. Approximately 89% of State pension contributions are made from General Funds, with the remainder paid from other State accounts.

Now any changes in required State contributions due to revised actuarial assumptions are recognized equally over a five-year period instead of all at once in the year they take effect. The smoothing also applies retroactively to changes in actuarial assumptions that first affected State contributions during FY2014 through FY2017, which means that the State will reduce FY2018 and future contributions by the amounts that were previously overpaid.

Revised assumptions, such as lower assumed rates of investment return, increase accrued liabilities and raise State contribution requirements. Smoothing spreads the contribution increase over a number of years, reducing State costs in the near-term but increasing costs over time due to forgone interest on lower contributions. TRS, Illinois’ largest pension fund, said the State will have to pay three dollars in the future for every dollar in current contribution reductions due to smoothing.\(^{31}\)

The FY2018 budget legislation also shifted certain costs for high-paid employees from the State to local employers. Individual school districts, universities and community colleges are now


\(^{29}\) Public Act 100-0023, enacted on July 6, 2017.

\(^{30}\) Illinois State FY2018 Budget, pp. 177-178; Illinois State FY2017 Budget, p. 188; Illinois State FY2016 Budget, p. 5-3.

required to pay current service costs for the portion of employees’ salaries in excess of the Governor’s salary of $177,400.

The next table compares initial FY2018 total contribution amounts with revised amounts due to the legislative changes. The revisions decreased FY2018 contributions by $908.7 million, or 10.3%, to $7.9 billion from the original $8.8 billion. Contributions are expected to resume their climb in FY2019, rising to $8.5 billion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retirement System</th>
<th>FY2017 Original Amount</th>
<th>FY2018 Recertified Amount</th>
<th>FY2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>$3,986,583</td>
<td>$4,095,316</td>
<td>$4,466,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Employees</td>
<td>$2,014,461</td>
<td>$2,029,583</td>
<td>$2,165,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universities</td>
<td>$1,671,426</td>
<td>$1,629,308</td>
<td>$1,655,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judges</td>
<td>$131,334</td>
<td>$135,622</td>
<td>$140,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Assembly</td>
<td>$21,721</td>
<td>$21,155</td>
<td>$23,221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7,825,525</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,819,731</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,450,863</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total State contributions, including General Funds and other State funds. General Funds typically account for 89% of total contributions.


Another provision of the budget legislation that would shift additional costs to school districts, universities and community colleges is not expected to take effect until FY2020. The measure would create a Tier 3 benefit plan for new employees, with costs covered by local employers. The plan, which was part of Governor Rauner’s proposed FY2018 budget, was intended to save the State about $500 million annually. Actuarial evaluations of the Tier 3 plan that could support the savings estimate have not been released publicly.

**FY2018 Spending: Education**

A large portion of elementary and secondary education appropriations in the FY2018 budget was made contingent on education funding reform. The Governor issued an amendatory veto of the school funding bill passed by the General Assembly in May 2017, but a compromise was subsequently negotiated and enacted on August 31.

---

32 Public Act 100-0340, enacted in August 2017, increased State contributions to the Teachers’ fund by shifting more of the pension costs of federally funded teachers’ salaries from local school districts to the State. The legislative change means that school districts’ federal funds will be used only for current service costs of pensions and not to help pay down unfunded liabilities.

33 Illinois State FY2018 Budget, p. 38.

34 100th Illinois General Assembly, Senate Bill 1, passed on May 31, 2017. The bill was held in the Senate until July 31, 2017, when it was sent to the Governor.

35 Public Act 100-0465, enacted on August 31, 2017.
The new school funding formula is an evidence-based model that replaces the old formula, which provided General State Aid and supplemental block grants and categorical grants to school districts using an antiquated equalization formula. The old formula was originally intended to supplement school districts’ local resources but was criticized for inadequately funding school districts across Illinois.

The new evidence-based model sets a target funding level or adequacy target based on a school district’s needs and calculates local capacity to fund schools based on the assessed value of property available to the school district for taxing, rather than setting the same funding level for every student, as did the previous formula. It also establishes a base funding minimum to hold current funding levels harmless, and rolls many of the block grants and categorical grants into the base funding minimum calculation.

Chicago Public Schools (CPS) was expected to gain additional funding of about $293 million from the new evidence-based formula in FY2018. This includes about $221 million to cover CPS teacher normal pension costs and approximately $71 million of an additional $350 million available through the new formula for allocation statewide. The legislation also authorized the Chicago Board of Education to increase its property tax levy for teachers’ pensions outside of the property tax cap.

The compromise included a five-year pilot program offering up to $75 million per year in income tax credits to individuals or businesses that donate to private school scholarships for low income students. The program does not take effect until the 2018-2019 school year and taxpayers may not take credits for the donations until the tax year beginning on January 1, 2018. Because those returns are filed in 2019, the State apparently will not be affected by the revenue loss until FY2019.

Including the additional $221 million for CPS pension costs, General Funds appropriations for elementary and secondary education increase by $714 million in FY2018 to $8.2 billion from $7.5 billion in FY2017. Public schools remained open during Illinois’ budget impasse because full-year spending bills were enacted for K-12 education. Not including CPS pension costs, General Funds appropriations on an average annual basis from FY2016 to FY2018 increased by 10.7% from the FY2015 level.

In contrast, higher education was one of the areas of State government hit hardest by the budget deadlock. Higher education includes nine public universities; the Illinois Community College Board, which distributes funds to 48 community colleges; and the Monetary Award Program.

---

36 Illinois Department of Revenue, http://www.revenue.state.il.us/InvestInKids/Contributor.htm (last accessed on February 8, 2018).
38 Elementary and secondary education funding figures presented here do not include contributions to the Teachers’ Retirement System of the State of Illinois.
(MAP), which provides college tuition grants for low income students. In FY2016, higher education received $626 million in State general operating funds—less than one-third of the FY2015 level—and the shortfall has not been filled since that time.

The following chart shows State General Funds spending on higher education from FY2015 to FY2018. Even after supplemental appropriations in FY2017, average annual spending in the past three years of $1.56 billion is 79.8% of the FY2015 level of $1.95 billion. The numbers include additional funding in FY2017 and FY2018 from the Personal Property Replacement Tax Fund (PPRT), a special account not previously used for higher education, but do not include pension contributions for State university and community college employees.

The size of the funding shortfall over the period varies by category and institution. Public universities are funded at an annual average of 73.9% of the FY2015 level. The percentage is higher—ranging from 77.5% to 85.1%—for financially shaky Chicago State, Western Illinois and Eastern Illinois Universities. The Illinois Community College Board is funded at 76.7% of the FY2015 level. MAP is fully funded over the period, with supplemental appropriations in FY2017 and new appropriations for FY2018 more than offsetting the shortfall in FY2016.

---

40 Higher education also includes the Illinois Board of Higher Education, Math and Science Academy and State Universities Civil Service System. Higher education budget numbers presented here do not include State contributions to the State Universities Retirement System.
In FY2018 higher education appropriations of $1.8 billion are down by $113 million, or 5.8%, from FY2015. Funding for public universities decreases by 9.5% to $1.08 billion, and funding for community colleges declines by 8.0% to $311.2 million from $338.3 million. MAP funding increases by 10.2% to $401.3 million from $364.1 million.

**FY2018 Spending: Other Areas**

Employee group health insurance received no general operating funds during the budget deadlock, resulting in a backlog of more than $5 billion in unpaid bills in October 2017. The area is fully funded in FY2018 with a General Funds appropriation of almost $1.9 billion.

The budget legislation authorized the sale of up to $6 billion in General Obligation bonds to repay a portion of the total bill backlog, which peaked at $16.7 billion on November 8, 2017. Transfers out of General Funds to pay debt service on the backlog bonds is estimated at $534 million in FY2018.

Other statutory transfers decline by $1.8 billion, mainly because of the factors described above involving direct deposits and one-year reductions in income and sales taxes shared with local governments and transit districts. In addition, approximately $230 million that had been shown in the Governor’s proposed FY2018 budget as a transfer from General Funds to pay debt service on transportation bonds and provide operating funding for the Regional Transportation Authority was shifted to the Road Fund in the enacted FY2018 budget.

The Governor’s Office also implemented $156 million in General Funds spending reductions to curb the FY2018 operating deficit. Many human services grant programs that had previously been targeted for cuts in the Governor’s proposed budgets were reduced or eliminated in FY2018, including autism services, after school programming and immigrant and refugee services.

The largest savings, estimated at $59.4 million, involved the Child Care Assistance Program, which helps low income families pay for child care so parents can work or go to school. In July 2015 the Rauner administration limited eligibility in the child care program to families with incomes at 50% of the Federal Poverty Level, down from 185%. The threshold was subsequently increased to 162% in November 2015, and officials pledged to restore it to the original level when the State enacted a full budget. Although the FY2018 budget was enacted in July 2017, the

---


42 Public Act 100-0023, enacted on July 6, 2017.


The following table compares General Funds spending in FY2017 and FY2018. The additional $221 million in State contribution to Chicago teachers’ pensions discussed above is included in the Government Services category in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Appropriations*</th>
<th>FY2017 Preliminary</th>
<th>FY2018 Estimated</th>
<th>$ Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Education</td>
<td>$ 9,597</td>
<td>$ 9,716</td>
<td>$ 119</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-12 Education</td>
<td>$ 7,490</td>
<td>$ 7,983</td>
<td>$ 493</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education</td>
<td>$ 2,106</td>
<td>$ 1,733</td>
<td>$(373)</td>
<td>-17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Economic Development</td>
<td>$ 41</td>
<td>$ 79</td>
<td>$ 38</td>
<td>93.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Public Safety</td>
<td>$ 1,549</td>
<td>$ 1,759</td>
<td>$ 210</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Human Services</td>
<td>$ 6,719</td>
<td>$ 6,073</td>
<td>$(646)</td>
<td>-9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Healthcare</td>
<td>$ 7,169</td>
<td>$ 7,119</td>
<td>$(50)</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Environment and Culture</td>
<td>$ 61</td>
<td>$ 57</td>
<td>$(4)</td>
<td>-6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Government Services</td>
<td>$ 1,385</td>
<td>$ 1,331</td>
<td>$(54)</td>
<td>-3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspent Appropriations</td>
<td>$ (2,404)</td>
<td>$ (804)</td>
<td>$ 1,600</td>
<td>-66.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Expenditures</td>
<td>$ 24,116</td>
<td>$ 25,330</td>
<td>$ 1,214</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit Spending Reductions</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ (156)</td>
<td>$(156)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Agency Expenditures</td>
<td>$ 24,116</td>
<td>$ 25,173</td>
<td>$ 1,057</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Insurance Payments</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,858</td>
<td>$ 1,858</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Contributions</td>
<td>$ 6,951</td>
<td>$ 6,984</td>
<td>$ 33</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Transfers</td>
<td>$ 2,385</td>
<td>$ 573</td>
<td>$(1,812)</td>
<td>-76.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension and Capital Bonds Debt Service</td>
<td>$ 2,235</td>
<td>$ 2,281</td>
<td>$ 46</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backlog Bonds Debt Service</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 535</td>
<td>$ 535</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service**</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
<td>$ 2,816</td>
<td>$ 566</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures</td>
<td>$ 35,702</td>
<td>$ 37,403</td>
<td>$ 1,701</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Appropriations in FY2017 include spending compelled by court orders, consent decrees and continuing appropriations.
**Includes repayment of $15 million in interfund borrowing in FY2017.

Source: State of Illinois, Governor’s Office of Management and Budget, Financial Walk Down (General Funds), October 11, 2017; Communication with Governor’s Office of Management and Budget.

Budget Deficit and Unpaid Bills

For FY2018, estimated General Funds revenues of $35.9 billion and expenditures of $37.4 billion result in an operating deficit of $1.5 billion. That compares with a deficit of $5.4 billion in FY2017, when the State operated for a second year without a complete budget.

---

48 Communication between the Civic Federation and the Governor’s Office of Management and Budget, January 31, 2018.
The next table summarizes General Funds results for FY2017 and FY2018, based on recent estimates by GOMB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2017 Preliminary</th>
<th>FY2018 Estimated</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Source Revenues</td>
<td>$ 27,850</td>
<td>$ 32,343</td>
<td>$ 4,493</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Revenues</td>
<td>$ 2,483</td>
<td>$ 3,556</td>
<td>$ 1,073</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$ 30,333</td>
<td>$ 35,899</td>
<td>$ 5,566</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Agency Expenditures</td>
<td>$ 24,116</td>
<td>$ 25,173</td>
<td>$ 1,057</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Insurance Payments</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,858</td>
<td>$ 1,858</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Contributions</td>
<td>$ 6,951</td>
<td>$ 6,984</td>
<td>$ 33</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Transfers</td>
<td>$ 2,385</td>
<td>$ 573</td>
<td>$ (1,812)</td>
<td>-76.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service*</td>
<td>$ 2,250</td>
<td>$ 2,816</td>
<td>$ 566</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$ 35,702</td>
<td>$ 37,403</td>
<td>$ 1,701</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Surplus (Deficit)</strong></td>
<td>$(5,369)</td>
<td>$(1,504)</td>
<td>$3,865</td>
<td>-72.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Source: State of Illinois, Governor’s Office of Management and Budget, Financial Walk Down (General Funds), October 11, 2017; Communication between Civic Federation and Governor’s Office of Management and Budget.

The Illinois Constitution requires that the Governor propose and the General Assembly pass a balanced budget. Governor Rauner’s proposed budget for FY2018, released on February 15, 2017, had an operating deficit of at least $4.6 billion. To close the gap, the budget proposal relied on a bipartisan agreement among legislators that was not achieved.

The General Assembly’s FY2018 budget showed a modest operating surplus of about $360 million that was intended to be used for debt service on the bonds sold to pay down the bill backlog. Although the budget package authorized the sale of up to $6 billion in backlog bonds, the General Assembly’s plan called for only $3 billion to be issued.

In his veto of the budget legislation, Governor Rauner stated that the financial plan was $2 billion out of balance. State budget officials said the deficit estimate covered pension savings on the Tier 3 plan that would not be realized in FY2018 as well as certain unpaid FY2017 costs,

---

49 Ill. Const. art. VIII, sec. 2(a) and sec. 2(b).
such as day-to-day agency expenses, that had not been accounted for in the legislature’s budget.\textsuperscript{53}

In October 2017, GOMB estimated the operating deficit at $1.7 billion—reduced to $1.5 billion after the administratively implemented savings of $156 million.\textsuperscript{54} That calculation was based partly on weaker than expected revenues in FY2017, which left the State with a lower revenue base in FY2018.\textsuperscript{55} In addition, projected debt service was nearly doubled after the Governor’s Office decided to issue the full $6 billion authorized by the budget. The deficit estimate also reflected the failure to launch the Tier 3 pension plan in FY2018.

Even the $1.5 billion deficit estimate depends on assumptions about revenues and expenditures that may not prove to be accurate. As noted above, the FY2018 budget includes $300 million of revenues ($240 million net of associated expenses) from the planned sale of the Thompson Center in Chicago. However, these revenues may not materialize if the transaction cannot be implemented at that sale price or by the end of FY2018. On the other hand, speedier federal Medicaid reimbursement could lower the deficit.

With respect to expenditures, the FY2018 budget does not provide for any increased wage costs for the State’s largest union, the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME). AFSCME’s members, who account for about 77% of the State’s workforce, have been operating without a contract since the end of FY2015.\textsuperscript{56} The Illinois Labor Relations Board ruled in the fall of 2016 that the negotiations had reached an impasse, and AFSCME is currently seeking review in the appellate courts. Separately, an appeals court ruled in November 2017 that the State violated the law when it stopped awarding step increases after the contract expired.\textsuperscript{57} Step increases are automatic annual increases for newer workers as they add years of service.

In addition, required Medicaid funding of $445 million in FY2017 was not budgeted in FY2018, according to GOMB.\textsuperscript{58} It is also unclear to what extent interest penalty payments on overdue bills have been accounted for in the FY2018 deficit estimate.

Illinois’ balanced budget requirements do not prevent deficits from being carried from one year to the next. In recent years, the State has dealt with its deficits by delaying payments to vendors,

\textsuperscript{53} Communication between the Civic Federation and the Governor’s Office of Management and Budget, February 1, 2018.
\textsuperscript{58} State of Illinois, Governor’s Office of Management and Budget, \textit{Illinois Economic and Fiscal Policy Report}, October 12, 2017, p. 9. Another $757 million in FY2017 costs were either not budgeted for in FY2017 or not addressed by the backlog borrowing, but GOMB stated that these were already factored into backlog estimates.
school districts, local governments and other State funds, resulting in billions of dollars of unpaid bills at the end of the fiscal year. Because of the backlog, the State begins each fiscal year in a hole, using revenues from the current year to pay off previous years’ bills and limiting revenues available for current spending.

The backlog includes bills based on budgeted expenses, which are supposed to be paid in the lapse period—the time during which this year’s bills may be paid with next year’s revenues. Most bills are due to the Comptroller by two months after the end of the fiscal year, but the Comptroller has until December 31 to pay them. State vendors still owed money after the end of the lapse period based on unpaid appropriations may seek compensation in the Illinois Court of Claims.

The backlog also includes other costs not yet appropriated but expected to be paid eventually. Before the budget impasse, these unappropriated costs consisted mainly of so-called Section 25 liabilities, which under State law may be paid from future years’ appropriations. The authority to defer Medicaid bills at the Department of Healthcare and Family Services was sharply restricted beginning in FY2013; group health insurance bills currently represent the major Section 25 liability. Group health insurance received no general operating funds during the deadlock, but the bills must eventually be paid due to State law and union contracts.

Since the end of FY2015, the backlog numbers have also included unappropriated costs that the State may not be legally required to pay but has historically covered. According to GOMB, the FY2018 budget does not include about $1.2 billion in expenses from FY2017 that have yet to be appropriated. However, all of those expenses except required Medicaid funding of $445 million are accounted for in the estimated backlog.

59 This deadline was extended to three months for FY2017.
60 30 ILCS 105/25(b) and (m). The Department of Healthcare and Family Services may submit bills through December 31 [30 ILCS 105/25(k)(3)].
61 705 ILCS 505/24.
62 30 ILCS 105/25.
The following chart shows the backlog of unpaid bills from FY2012 to estimated FY2018. The backlog declined from $8.1 billion in FY2012 to $5.1 billion in FY2015, mainly due to revenue from the income tax increase and transfers from other State funds. Without a complete general operating budget in FY2016 and FY2017, spending continued without sufficient revenues, leading to an estimated $14.7 billion in bills at the end of FY2017. The projected decline to $7.5 billion in FY2018 reflects the October 2017 sale of $6 billion of bonds directed at reducing the backlog.

The Comptroller’s Office has said that the bond sale immediately reduced the backlog by about $8.7 billion and was mainly used to pay down group health insurance and Medicaid bills. In addition to the expected proceeds of $6 billion, the State received a premium of $482 million on the bond price and $2.2 billion in federal Medicaid reimbursements.

---

The bond sale resulted in a larger backlog reduction than GOMB initially projected. As a result, the amount of unpaid bills at end of FY2018 could be below the previously forecasted $7.5 billion, but the outcome will depend on uncertainties involving the FY2018 operating deficit. In addition to bond-related funds, the GOMB backlog reduction plan included about $850 million in borrowing and transfers from accounts outside the General Funds, of a total of $1.5 billion authorized in the FY2018 budget, and the use of $990 million in balances in other funds that are earmarked for specific purposes.

The bond sale lowered the State’s interest cost on most bills that were paid down by the difference between the bond coupon rate of 3.5% and the penalty rates of 9% to 12% that the State is required to pay on certain overdue bills. Under the State Prompt Payment Act, interest accrues at 1% a month, or 12% annually, on proper bills that are not paid within 90 days. Other claims, including those from healthcare providers, accrue interest at 9% a year after 30 days under the Illinois Insurance Code.

---

67 Public Act 100-0023, enacted on July 6, 2017. The act authorized up to $1.2 billion in interfund borrowing through December 31, 2018 that must be paid back within 24 months of the borrowing and $293 million in transfers from funds outside General Funds in FY2018.
68 30 ILCS 540. Proper bills are defined as those that include the information needed to process the payment.
69 215 ILCS 5/368(a).
Illinois spent $1.6 billion on late payment interest penalties from FY2008 through January 2018, according to data from the Comptroller’s Office. As shown in the chart below, most of the penalties were paid by the Departments of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) and Central Management Services (CMS). HFS administers the Medicaid program and was in charge of employee group health insurance before FY2013, when that program was shifted to CMS.

![State of Illinois Expenditures on Interest Penalties on Late Bills: FY2008-FY2018 (in $ millions)*](chart)

Through the first seven months of FY2018, State spending on interest penalties of $337.9 million has already exceeded the previous yearly high of $317.9 million in FY2013. Interest penalties are paid after the State pays the underlying bills, so spending is expected to climb as the State pays penalties on bills cleared due to the bond sale. For the first six months of FY2018, the State spent $92.1 million, but the expenditure in January 2018 rose to $245.8 million.

A recent report showed interest penalties owed on bills already paid and bills held at the Comptroller’s Office or at State agencies totaled $887 million on December 31, 2017. These numbers suggest the magnitude of accrued interest but are not comparable to the spending totals in the chart. Although all of the numbers are from the Comptroller’s Office, they are based on

---

different methods of determining the timing of expenditures. Moreover, it remains to be seen when the accrued penalties will be paid.

Credit Ratings and Bond Costs

During the two-year budget standoff, Illinois’ General Obligation credit ratings were downgraded twice by Fitch and three times each by S&P and Moody’s. The downgrades leave the State one step away from a junk rating by both S&P and Moody’s and two steps away by Fitch. The following chart shows the history of the State’s General Obligation credit rating since 2010.

As a result of the downgrades, the State was forced to renegotiate the terms of its interest rate swap contracts twice. Had it not done so, it would have faced tens of millions of dollars in

---

termination payments for failing to meet credit thresholds. Under the revised terms of the contracts the State is currently two credit notches above termination.

Local governments also suffered during the standoff due to investor skepticism about the state of Illinois’ finances. One asset manager estimated that the “Illinois Penalty” resulted in $930 million in additional annual debt service cost to local governments throughout the State.\textsuperscript{75}

After the two-year anniversary of the budget standoff on July 1, 2017 passed by with no budget in place, credit ratings companies warned that more downgrades were imminent.\textsuperscript{76} However, within two weeks of the General Assembly’s July 6 override of the Governor’s budget veto, all three ratings companies stated that they would refrain from further downgrades for the time being.\textsuperscript{77}

\textsuperscript{75} John Miller, Nuveen Asset Management, Testimony before the Illinois General Assembly, House Committee on Revenue, May 11, 2017.


The bond market reacted positively to the budget passage; spreads between the yields on Illinois bonds traded in the secondary market and a market index dropped precipitously. When the State returned to market in November 2017 to issue $6 billion in backlog bonds and $750 million in capital bonds, it priced at substantially lower interest rates than it had one year before. The following chart compares the spreads between Illinois’ yields and a market index for the capital bonds issued in November 2016 and those issued one year later. For example, the spreads for bonds maturing 25 years from issuance were 0.37 percentage points lower, saving the State substantial interest cost.

However, the challenges for Illinois’ credit rating and market access are far from over. Recently, spreads in secondary market trading have begun to rise again, indicating that investors may be concerned about the potential for another budget impasse. Both Fitch and Moody’s maintain a negative outlook of the State’s ratings.

---

80 Elizabeth Campbell and Zachary Hansen, “Illinois Bond Spread Hits Six-Month High Over Political Discord,” Bloomberg, January 24, 2018.
## PROJECTIONS

While the end of the two-year budget impasse improved Illinois’ fiscal position, balancing the budget remains an ongoing challenge. The annual five-year projection from the Governor’s Office of Management and Budget (GOMB) shows that without significant policy changes, structural deficits will remain a feature of the State’s finances for the foreseeable future.\(^2\)

The table below summarizes GOMB’s projection through FY2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State of Illinois Governor’s Five-Year General Funds Budget Projection</th>
<th>FY2018 Estimated</th>
<th>FY2019 Projected</th>
<th>FY2020 Projected</th>
<th>FY2021 Projected</th>
<th>FY2022 Projected</th>
<th>FY2023 Projected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Individual Income Taxes</td>
<td>$17,250</td>
<td>$17,474</td>
<td>$18,162</td>
<td>$18,902</td>
<td>$19,665</td>
<td>$20,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Corporate Income Taxes</td>
<td>$1,882</td>
<td>$2,043</td>
<td>$2,222</td>
<td>$2,375</td>
<td>$2,532</td>
<td>$2,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Sales Taxes</td>
<td>$7,970</td>
<td>$8,185</td>
<td>$8,414</td>
<td>$8,667</td>
<td>$8,901</td>
<td>$9,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other State Sources</td>
<td>$5,241</td>
<td>$4,891</td>
<td>$4,886</td>
<td>$4,887</td>
<td>$4,888</td>
<td>$4,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Source Revenues</td>
<td>$32,343</td>
<td>$32,594</td>
<td>$33,685</td>
<td>$34,830</td>
<td>$35,986</td>
<td>$37,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Revenues</td>
<td>$3,556</td>
<td>$3,592</td>
<td>$3,627</td>
<td>$3,664</td>
<td>$3,700</td>
<td>$3,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$35,899</td>
<td>$36,166</td>
<td>$37,313</td>
<td>$38,494</td>
<td>$39,687</td>
<td>$40,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-12 Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Economic Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Public Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Human Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Healthcare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Environment and Culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspent Appropriations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Expenditures</td>
<td>$25,330</td>
<td>$25,833</td>
<td>$26,345</td>
<td>$26,885</td>
<td>$27,431</td>
<td>$27,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit Spending Reductions</td>
<td>$(156)</td>
<td>$(156)</td>
<td>$(156)</td>
<td>$(156)</td>
<td>$(156)</td>
<td>$(156)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Agency Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$25,174</td>
<td>$25,677</td>
<td>$26,189</td>
<td>$26,729</td>
<td>$27,275</td>
<td>$27,535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Insurance Payments</td>
<td>$1,858</td>
<td>$1,923</td>
<td>$1,990</td>
<td>$2,060</td>
<td>$2,132</td>
<td>$2,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Contributions without Tier 3</td>
<td>$6,984</td>
<td>$7,405</td>
<td>$7,817</td>
<td>$8,317</td>
<td>$8,778</td>
<td>$9,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Tier 3 Proposal Reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Pension Contributions</td>
<td>$6,984</td>
<td>$7,405</td>
<td>$7,817</td>
<td>$8,317</td>
<td>$8,778</td>
<td>$9,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory Transfers</td>
<td>$573</td>
<td>$394</td>
<td>$414</td>
<td>$419</td>
<td>$424</td>
<td>$430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension and Capital Bonds Debt Service</td>
<td>$2,281</td>
<td>$2,001</td>
<td>$1,451</td>
<td>$1,508</td>
<td>$1,570</td>
<td>$1,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backlog Bonds Debt Service</td>
<td>$535</td>
<td>$785</td>
<td>$760</td>
<td>$735</td>
<td>$710</td>
<td>$685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Debt Service</td>
<td>$2,816</td>
<td>$2,786</td>
<td>$2,211</td>
<td>$2,243</td>
<td>$2,280</td>
<td>$2,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$37,404</td>
<td>$38,340</td>
<td>$39,277</td>
<td>$39,425</td>
<td>$40,544</td>
<td>$41,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Surplus (Deficit)</strong></td>
<td>$(1,504)</td>
<td>$(2,154)</td>
<td>$(2,952)</td>
<td>$(2,969)</td>
<td>$(2,257)</td>
<td>$(2,952)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfund Borrowing for Backlog Reduction</td>
<td>$575</td>
<td>$575</td>
<td>$575</td>
<td>$575</td>
<td>$575</td>
<td>$575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Backlog</td>
<td>$(7,500)</td>
<td>$(9,079)</td>
<td>$(10,619)</td>
<td>$(12,126)</td>
<td>$(12,984)</td>
<td>$(13,657)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves % of Revenues</td>
<td>-20.9%</td>
<td>-25.1%</td>
<td>-28.5%</td>
<td>-31.5%</td>
<td>-32.7%</td>
<td>-33.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: State of Illinois, Governor’s Office of Management and Budget, Financial Walk Down (General Funds), October 11, 2017; Civic Federation Calculations.


\(^2\) State of Illinois, Governor’s Office of Management and Budget, Financial Walk Down (General Funds), October 11, 2017.
Revenues

The revenue forecast, prepared by the Illinois Department of Revenue, blends two sets of assumptions about economic performance: a baseline scenario and a pessimistic scenario. History indicates that a recession is likely to occur sometime during the forecast period. It has been over ten years since the beginning of the last recession in December 2007; the average time between recessions since 1945 is less than six years. According to GOMB, the possibility of recession is included in the overall growth rates applied to the forecast, rather than a prediction that one will occur in any particular year.

In the projection, personal income tax rates (net of refunds and diversions for local governments) are forecast to grow by 1.3% in FY2019 and approximately 4.0% each year thereafter. Net corporate income tax revenues are forecast to grow by nearly 8.6% in FY2019 and average 7.3% throughout the forecast period. All other State sources are expected to decline by 6.7% in FY2019 and then remain flat through FY2023. Federal revenues are forecast to grow about 1.0% annually. Overall, revenues are forecast to grow 0.8% in FY2019 and then 3.1% to 3.2% thereafter for an average annual growth rate of nearly 2.7%.

Expenditures

In contrast, expenditures are only forecast to grow by 2.1% annually through FY2023. The primary driver is pensions. Even with projected Tier 3 saving of $500 million annually beginning in FY2020, projected pension contributions will grow an average of 4.4% annually throughout the period. Expenditure growth is also driven by State employee group health insurance payments, forecast to increase approximately 3.5% each year. Debt service drops precipitously in FY2020 after the final maturity of the 2010 and 2011 pension obligation bonds, then increases approximately 1.5% annually thereafter.

Compared with pensions and group health, net agency expenditures are projected to grow relatively slowly at an average of 2.1% per year. The category with the highest projected growth is elementary and secondary education at over 2.5% per year. However, the annual increases, which range from $200 to $220 million, are less than the $350 million annual target increase set by the new school funding formula legislation enacted in August 2017.

The spending category with the lowest projected growth rate of only 1.0% annually is higher education. This follows a three-year period of underfunding as discussed earlier in this report.

86 105 ILCS 5/18-8.15 Section (g)(9).
The average growth rate for net agency expenditures of 2.1% is a conservative estimate for several reasons. First, the projections do not include an estimate for interest penalties on the backlog, even though the backlog grows throughout the period. Second, according to GOMB officials the projections assume zero salary increases or cost of living adjustments throughout the five-year period. Since these are subject to collective bargaining, the ability to avoid increases is not entirely in the State’s control. Finally, estimated pension contributions may be too low. There has been no publicly released actuarial analysis performed on the Tier 3 plan, which shifts the pension costs of future hires to universities and downstate school districts. It is not clear whether the plan will achieve the projected $500 million in annual savings to the State beginning in FY2020, or what effects the plan will have on the unfunded liabilities of the two pension systems.

Moreover, the pension contribution amounts in the projection were calculated before trustees of the five State retirement systems signed off on the final payments for FY2019. The amounts shown for teachers’ pensions are too low; the certified FY2019 contribution for the Teachers’ Retirement System is $4.47 billion, $143 million higher than the $4.32 billion in the projection. For the following four years through FY2023, the projection’s TRS contribution numbers are below the most recent actuarial estimates by a total of $671 million.

On the other hand, the projection might overstate the required contribution for the State Universities Retirement System (SURS). The General Funds payment to SURS is offset by payments from the State Pensions Fund, which receives proceeds from the sale of unclaimed property. While the projection assumes annual payments from the fund of $140 million in FY2019 and beyond, the actual payment in FY2019 is $215 million in FY2018 and was $190 million or higher in the previous three years.

**Budget Balance and Backlog**

GOBM’s forecast predicts continued financial difficulty for Illinois. The projections show a deficit of over $2.1 billion in FY2019 followed by smaller deficits each year thereafter. After an FY2018 reduction to $7.5 billion achieved through borrowing, the backlog is projected to grow to over $13 billion in FY2023, almost one-third of annual revenues. Despite the efforts taken by the State to end the budget impasse, there is much more work to be done to put Illinois on the path to fiscal sustainability.

---

87 Communication between the Civic Federation and the Governor’s Office of Management and Budget.
90 Illinois State FY2018 Budget, p. 78; Communication between the Civic Federation and the Governor’s Office of Management and Budget.
CIVIC FEDERATION RECOMMENDATIONS

The Governor’s five-year projection illustrates the fiscal reality that despite the recent tax increases, the State of Illinois’ current revenue and spending structures do not provide a sustainable basis for funding essential government services and will lead to unacceptable growth in unpaid bills through FY2023.

Moreover, the Civic Federation does not believe that it is possible to correct the State’s course through cuts alone. To clear the projected FY2019 operating deficit of $2.1 billion, the State would have to reduce net agency spending by $1.5 billion from FY2018, a 5.9% cut. After that, in order to eliminate the backlog by FY2023, net agency spending could grow by only $245 million per year, an annual increase of 1.0%. This would result in annual net agency spending of $2.4 billion to $3.1 billion lower than the Governor’s baseline forecast.

The Civic Federation does not believe that these spending reductions are sustainable, given the cuts to most areas except K-12 education in the previous two years. Furthermore, GOMB’s projected net agency growth rate is already a highly conservative assumption. Finally, while a cuts-only plan balances the budget and eliminates the bill backlog by FY2023, it does not address the State’s pension underfunding or allow for the creation of a rainy day fund.

The Civic Federation presents the following comprehensive plan and recommendations to stabilize the State’s operating budget and establish a balanced path toward a more sustainable financial future.

Comprehensive Plan

In order to achieve stability in the State’s long-term finances, the Civic Federation proposes that the comprehensive financial plan should meet the following goals:

- Ensure future annual operating budgets are balanced;
- Eliminate the backlog of unpaid bills;
- Provide achievable spending limits;
- Avoid drastic revenue cliffs;
- Broaden the tax base to provide sustainable revenue sources;
- Include additional assistance for local governments;
- Set aside reserves for an adequate rainy day fund; and
- Address Illinois’ long term challenges, such as unfunded pension liabilities and infrastructure needs.

The Civic Federation’s comprehensive plan proposes the following policy changes to improve the State’s fiscal position:

1. Restrict net agency spending growth to 2.1% annually through FY2023;
2. Reduce late bill interest penalty payments to a market-based rate;
3. Eliminate the exclusion of federally-taxable retirement income from the State’s income tax;
4. Extend the State sales tax to the 14 additional services taxed by the State of Wisconsin;
5. Work toward building a rainy day fund equal to 10% of General Funds revenues;
6. Place a constitutional amendment on the ballot to limit the pension protection clause and allow reasonable, moderate changes to current employee and retiree benefits necessary to secure the financial sustainability of the State and local governments and the pension systems themselves;
7. In the absence of benefit reform, require annual supplemental payments to the State’s systems of $600 million from FY2020 through FY2034 and $481 million in FY2035;
8. Merge the Chicago Teachers’ Pension Fund (CTPF) with the Teachers’ Retirement System, with the State shifting the normal costs onto local school districts and assuming responsibility for unfunded liabilities;
9. Create a bipartisan commission to study the elimination of duplicative higher education programs, reallocation of resources across programs and campuses and the closure or consolidation of campuses; Consolidate the governance of State universities into a single, statewide Board of Trustees;
10. Initiate a new capital plan with a comprehensive analysis and prioritization of the State’s infrastructure needs, funded by an increase in the motor fuel tax and potentially by vehicle miles traveled and congestion taxes; and
The following table shows the effects of the Civic Federation’s recommendations on the General Funds budget for the next five years. The plan eliminates the projected FY2019 deficit, puts the State pension systems on a path to 100% funding by 2045, provides equitable State assistance to the Chicago Teachers’ Pension Fund, eliminates the backlog of bills and begins to build a rainy day fund equal to 0.8% of General Funds revenues by FY2023. The projections should be regarded as rough estimates due to data limitations and significant uncertainty about major factors that will affect the State’s budget.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Source Revenues</td>
<td>$32,343</td>
<td>$32,594</td>
<td>$33,685</td>
<td>$34,830</td>
<td>$35,986</td>
<td>$37,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Revenues</td>
<td>$3,556</td>
<td>$3,592</td>
<td>$3,627</td>
<td>$3,664</td>
<td>$3,700</td>
<td>$3,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Baseline Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$35,899</td>
<td>$36,186</td>
<td>$37,313</td>
<td>$38,494</td>
<td>$39,687</td>
<td>$40,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Civic Federation Revenue Changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce Retirement Income Tax Exclusion^1</td>
<td>$2,509</td>
<td>$2,635</td>
<td>$2,768</td>
<td>$2,908</td>
<td>$3,055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Tax on Services (Wisconsin Model)</td>
<td>$208</td>
<td>$275</td>
<td>$342</td>
<td>$410</td>
<td>$478</td>
<td>$544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net New Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$2,717</td>
<td>$3,113</td>
<td>$3,356</td>
<td>$3,496</td>
<td>$3,643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$35,899</td>
<td>$38,903</td>
<td>$40,426</td>
<td>$41,850</td>
<td>$43,183</td>
<td>$44,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Agency Expenditure Baseline Forecast</td>
<td>$25,174</td>
<td>$25,833</td>
<td>$26,345</td>
<td>$26,885</td>
<td>$27,431</td>
<td>$27,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Insurance Payments</td>
<td>$1,858</td>
<td>$1,923</td>
<td>$2,060</td>
<td>$2,132</td>
<td>$2,207</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Pension Contributions</td>
<td>$6,984</td>
<td>$7,405</td>
<td>$7,317</td>
<td>$7,817</td>
<td>$8,278</td>
<td>$8,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Debt Service</td>
<td>$2,816</td>
<td>$2,786</td>
<td>$2,211</td>
<td>$2,243</td>
<td>$2,280</td>
<td>$2,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Baseline Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$37,404</td>
<td>$38,340</td>
<td>$38,277</td>
<td>$39,425</td>
<td>$40,544</td>
<td>$41,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Civic Federation Expenditure Changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Pension Payments</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTPF Unfunded Liability^2</td>
<td>$569</td>
<td>$594</td>
<td>$620</td>
<td>$646</td>
<td>$673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift Normal Cost of CTPF back to CPS</td>
<td>$(216)</td>
<td>$(215)</td>
<td>$(215)</td>
<td>$(215)</td>
<td>$(214)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRS Normal Cost Shift</td>
<td>$(342)</td>
<td>$(684)</td>
<td>$(1,026)</td>
<td>$(1,026)</td>
<td>$(1,026)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undo Tier 3 Normal Cost Shift</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net New Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$11</td>
<td>$795</td>
<td>$479</td>
<td>$506</td>
<td>$533</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$37,404</td>
<td>$38,351</td>
<td>$39,072</td>
<td>$39,904</td>
<td>$41,050</td>
<td>$42,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Surplus (Deficit)</td>
<td>$(1,504)</td>
<td>$(551)</td>
<td>$1,353</td>
<td>$1,945</td>
<td>$2,132</td>
<td>$2,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfund Borrowing for Backlog Reduction</td>
<td>$575</td>
<td>$575</td>
<td>$(575)</td>
<td>$(575)</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reserves (Bill Backlog)</strong></td>
<td>$(7,500)</td>
<td>$(6,374)</td>
<td>$(5,595)</td>
<td>$(4,225)</td>
<td>$(2,093)</td>
<td>$344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reserves % of Revenues</strong></td>
<td>-20.9%</td>
<td>-16.4%</td>
<td>-13.8%</td>
<td>-10.1%</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


^1 Revenue estimates for taxing of retirement income include all income taxable at the federal level.

^2 Based on the 2016 Actuarial Valuation at the assumed rate of return of 7.75%. CTPF has lowered its assumed rate to 7.25% but has not yet released its FY2017 actuarial valuation, which is expected to contain higher numbers than those presented here.
In addition to stabilizing the State’s finances, the Civic Federation’s two revenue proposals also provide some assistance to local governments. Taxing retirement income would result in $162 million in FY2019 for the Local Government Distributive Fund.\textsuperscript{91} Local governments would also receive the 1.25% share of the State’s sales tax applied to services. The total revenue for local governments in FY2019 is estimated at $266 million and would rise to $344 million by FY2023 as compliance with the sales tax extension increases. These revenues would help to stabilize finances of local governments across Illinois and could help mitigate reliance on property taxes to fund essential services.

The following table shows the new revenues to local governments provided by the Civic Federation’s plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue Source</th>
<th>Projected FY 2019</th>
<th>Projected FY 2020</th>
<th>Projected FY 2021</th>
<th>Projected FY 2022</th>
<th>Projected FY 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Share of Retirement Income Tax</td>
<td>$162</td>
<td>$170</td>
<td>$179</td>
<td>$188</td>
<td>$197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Share of Service Sales Tax</td>
<td>$104</td>
<td>$119</td>
<td>$147</td>
<td>$147</td>
<td>$147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assistance to Local Government</strong></td>
<td><strong>$266</strong></td>
<td><strong>$289</strong></td>
<td><strong>$326</strong></td>
<td><strong>$335</strong></td>
<td><strong>$344</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Issue 1: Spending Controls**

The Illinois Constitution states that neither the Governor’s proposed budget, nor the appropriations adopted by the General Assembly, can exceed estimated revenues.\textsuperscript{92} Nevertheless, every year since 2001, expenses in the General Funds have exceeded the revenues available to pay for them.\textsuperscript{93} During those years, the State has relied on a number of measures to get from one year to the next, using one-time measures such as sweeps from other funds\textsuperscript{94} and borrowing for operations.\textsuperscript{95}

As part of this Roadmap, the Civic Federation proposes a number of new sources of revenue to eliminate deficits, pay down the large backlog of unpaid bills and enhance the stability of the

\textsuperscript{91} Since personal income taxes were increased in 2011, LGDF has received 10% of the original 3.0% personal income tax rate. The Civic Federation’s proposal would distribute the same ratio of the proceeds from extending the income tax to retirement income.

\textsuperscript{92} Ill. Const. art. VIII, sec. 2.


\textsuperscript{94} Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability, State of Illinois Budget Summary, Fiscal Year 2017, August 10, 2016, pp. 222-224.

pension funds. However, if new revenues are instead redirected to new spending, progress toward fiscal sustainability will be imperiled. Therefore, it is imperative that spending be controlled, and the Civic Federation only endorses revenue increases in the context of a comprehensive multi-year plan that includes limits on spending.

In its five year projection, the Governor’s maintenance budget grows net agency expenditures by only 2.1% per year. This is close to the Federal Reserve’s longstanding inflation target of 2.0%. The Civic Federation endorses this constrained level of spending growth until the State’s fiscal condition can be substantially improved. As discussed above, 2.1% is a conservative estimate of spending growth as it does not include any assumptions for salary increases, cost of living adjustments or interest on the bill backlog. Maintaining the 2.1% target while incorporating the likelihood of these expenses means that government services will grow more slowly and some may have to be cut.

**Civic Federation Recommendation on Spending**

The Civic Federation recommends that the State of Illinois limit net agency spending growth to 2.1% annually through at least FY2023.

**Issue 2: Interest Penalties on Overdue Bills**

For decades, many states and the federal government have had laws requiring payment of interest penalties on late bills to private vendors. The laws are designed to encourage timely payment for goods and services and limit bureaucratic delays.

This system has backfired in Illinois due to the State’s practice of deferring bills to manage its budget deficits. The bill backlog peaked at $16.7 billion on November 8, 2017, following two years without a complete budget. At that point, the State cleared out a significant portion of the unpaid bills using the proceeds of a $6 billion sale of General Obligation bonds.

The bond sale made financial sense because the State’s coupon rate of 3.5% on the bonds was far below the steep interest penalties it pays on many overdue bills. Under the State Prompt Payment Act, interest accrues at 1% a month, or 12% annually, on proper bills that are not paid within 90 days. Other claims, including those from healthcare providers, accrue interest at 9% a year after 30 days under the timely payment provisions of the Illinois Insurance Code. Certain payables, such as transfers to local governments and other State funds, are not eligible for penalty interest. After prolonged payment delays due to the budget impasse and over the veto of

---


100 30 ILCS 540. Proper bills are defined as those that include the information needed to process the payment.

101 215 ILCS 5/368(a).
Governor Rauner, the General Assembly recently extended eligibility for Prompt Payment interest to grants to social service agencies.\textsuperscript{102}

Illinois spent $1.6 billion on late payment interest penalties from FY2008 through January 2018, according to data from the Comptroller’s Office.\textsuperscript{103} Through the first seven months of FY2018, State spending on interest penalties of $337.9 million has already exceeded the previous yearly high of $317.9 million in FY2013. Interest penalties are paid after the State pays the underlying bills, so spending is expected to climb as the State pays penalties on bills cleared due to the bond sale. For the first six months of FY2018, the State spent $92.1 million, but the expenditure in January 2018 rose to $245.8 million.

A recent report showed interest penalties owed on bills already paid and bills held at the Comptroller’s Office or at State agencies totaled $887 million on December 31, 2017.\textsuperscript{104} These numbers suggest the magnitude of accrued interest but are not comparable to the spending totals in the chart. Although all of the numbers are from the Comptroller’s Office, they are based on different methods of determining the timing of expenditures.\textsuperscript{105} Moreover, it remains to be seen when the accrued penalties will be paid.

Prompt payment penalty interest rates paid by different governments vary widely, but Illinois’ rates appear to be excessive. The federal government’s penalty rate is currently 2.625%, compounded monthly.\textsuperscript{106} Texas pays one percentage point over the prime lending rate, which is currently 4.5%;\textsuperscript{107} and Missouri pays three percentage points over the prime rate.\textsuperscript{108} Michigan’s rate is closer to Illinois’ at 0.75% per month.\textsuperscript{109}

The Civic Federation hopes that the State of Illinois will implement a comprehensive plan to stabilize its finances and never again allow its bill backlog to grow to such a level that penalty interest payments become a significant financial liability. However, the rates should still be reduced to reflect lower economy-wide rates of return.

Governor Rauner has proposed reducing Illinois’ prompt payment penalty rate on future bills from 12% annually to the five-year U.S. Treasury yield curve rate plus one percentage point.\textsuperscript{110}

\begin{flushright}
102 Public Act 100-0549, enacted on November 9, 2017.
103 Civic Federation calculations based on data from the State of Illinois Comptroller’s website.
\end{flushright}
As of February 7, 2018, this penalty rate would have been 3.57%. The new reduced penalty rate should not be applied to outstanding bills, but it remains to be seen whether a change in the penalty interest rate could be applied to new bills under existing State contracts.

A reduction in the timely payment rate also deserves consideration. This provision of the Insurance Code is intended to cause insurers to pay doctors and hospitals on time, but certain State medical payments are also covered by the law.

**Civic Federation Recommendation on Interest Penalties on Overdue Bills**
The Civic Federation recommends that the State reduce the late payment penalty in the Prompt Payment Act to a rate that reflects lower economy-wide rates of return, such as the five-year Treasury rate plus one percentage point. The legislature and Governor should also consider a reduction in the timely payment rate in the Insurance Code.

**Issue 3: Retirement Income Exclusion**
Unlike the federal government, which taxes certain levels of Social Security benefits and other retirement income, Illinois excludes all retirement income from the State’s income tax base. Out of the 41 states that impose an income tax, Illinois is one of three that exclude all pension income and one of 27 that exclude all federally taxable Social Security income.

The Illinois Comptroller reports that this exclusion of federally taxable retirement income reduced individual income tax revenues by $2.3 billion in FY2015. In years when the personal income tax rate is at or near 5%, this exclusion is the most expensive of all of the State’s tax breaks and the cost is expected to increase rapidly over time as the population ages.

Historically, retirement income has grown at a much higher annual rate than regular income. Between 2007 and 2015, retirement income in Illinois (excluding federally taxable Social Security income) grew at an annual rate of 8.0%, compared to 3.8% for regular income.

---

113 35 ILCS 5/203(F).
114 Excludes the District of Columbia. National Conference of State Legislatures, *State Personal Income Taxes on Pensions and Retirement Income: Tax Year 2014*, April 3, 2015. At the federal level, between 15% and 100% of Social Security benefits are excluded from taxation. Generally, Social Security benefits are not taxable if they represent a taxpayer’s only income. If base income is up to $25,000 for an individual or $32,000 for joint filers, then no tax is owed. Base income is the sum of half of Social Security benefits plus all other income. Internal Revenue Service, Publication 915, “Social Security and Equivalent Railroad Retirement Benefits, 2017” January 3, 2018, pp. 2-4.
Security benefits) grew at an average annual rate of about 5.0%, while other personal income increased on average by only 1.2% per year.\textsuperscript{117}

Including this high-growth component in the income tax base would provide for a more sustainable revenue source for the State. At the new personal income tax rate of 4.95\%, the additional State revenue from taxing the federally taxable portion of retirement income is estimated to be $2.5 billion in FY2019 and is projected to grow to $3.1 billion FY2023. The proposal would also provide local governments with an estimated $162 million in FY2019, growing to $197 million in FY2023.\textsuperscript{118}

Illinois is an outlier regionally among bordering states in excluding all retirement income. Although Michigan, Indiana, Wisconsin, Iowa and Missouri all exempt Social Security income, they tax other retirement income. Indiana has the lowest rate of 3.2\%, which is a flat income tax rate applied to non-Social Security retirement income.\textsuperscript{119} Iowa charges the highest rate, which is the top rate on its graduated income tax scale of 8.98\% applied to earners above $70,785, but also exempts specified amounts of retirement income for taxpayers aged 55 or older.

\textit{Civic Federation Recommendation on the Retirement Income Exclusion}

The Civic Federation recommends that the State of Illinois broaden its income tax base by eliminating the tax exclusion for all federally taxable retirement income. This will enhance the State’s fiscal stability by providing access to a faster growing portion of the income tax base, generating FY2019 revenues of over $2.5 billion.

\textit{Issue 4: Sales Tax on Services}

One of the basic principles of government finance is that to be stable and efficient a tax should generally have as broad a base and as low a rate as possible. Unfortunately, Illinois’ sales tax does not live up to either ideal. According to a revenue study issued by the Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability (COGFA), Illinois’ sales tax base is much narrower than those in other states, leading to greater volatility and higher rates.\textsuperscript{120}

While the statewide sales tax rate is a moderate 6.25\%, the combined sales tax rate in the City of Chicago is highest of any major municipality in the United States at 10.25\%.\textsuperscript{121} The remaining 4.0 percentage points are charged by local taxing authorities. Of the State’s 6.25 percentage

points, 1.25 percentage points are distributed to local governments, counties and mass transit districts.\textsuperscript{122}

One cause of Illinois’ sales tax narrowness is that the State excludes most services from its tax base. Out of the 168 total services taxed by American states, Illinois currently taxes only 17.\textsuperscript{123} Most of these are related to the delivery of utility services, such as gas, electricity and telecommunications. The remaining services are the Retailers Occupation Tax on prepaid phone cards, photograph processing and canned (as opposed to custom-designed) software; a 5\% tax on hotel operations and automobile renting; and a $30 annual fee on coin-operated amusement devices.

In addition to narrowing the sales tax base, the exclusion of services exposes Illinois to negative long-term revenue trends. Nationwide sales tax revenues have grown more slowly than other state revenues in recent years, in part because of online sales.\textsuperscript{124} Moreover, goods have declined relative to services as a proportion of total consumer spending.\textsuperscript{125} As part of a path to sustainable state finances, Illinois should contemplate expanding its sales tax to cover consumer services.\textsuperscript{126} The shift should lead to increased revenue stability and lessen the gradual erosion of one of the “Big Three” revenues for the State.\textsuperscript{127}

Any taxation of services is expected to be controversial and draw intense opposition from a variety of special interest groups. During the 2014 gubernatorial campaign, Governor Bruce Rauner proposed broadening the sales tax base in Illinois to include 32 services that are currently untaxed, which was estimated to generate an additional $600 million in General Funds revenue.\textsuperscript{128} However, this proposal has not been pursued by the Governor’s administration. In the spring of 2017 early versions of Senate Bill 9, the revenue bill that eventually became part of the enacted FY2018 budget, would have applied the sales tax to services including dry cleaning, lawn care, vehicle repair, television and internet streaming services, pest control, private detective services, tanning, tattooing and various others.\textsuperscript{129} However, these measures were taken out of the final bill.\textsuperscript{130}


\textsuperscript{124} Jackson Brainerd, “Taxed and Spent: Does the Sales Tax Have a Future?” \textit{State Legislatures Magazine}, June 1, 2016.


\textsuperscript{126} Experts generally advise against assessing sales taxes on business-to-business services, as the taxes “pyramid” into much higher rates as services are delivered through the supply chain. The pyramiding can lead to arbitrary tax discrepancies depending on which services are vertically integrated within a firm as well as other distortions. Fred Nicely and Liz Malm, National Conference of State Legislators, \textit{Broadening the Sales Tax Base Dos and Don’ts}.


\textsuperscript{128} Paul Merrion, “Rauner, the anti-tax candidate, finds a tax he likes: on services,” \textit{Crain’s Chicago Business}, July 26, 2014.


\textsuperscript{130} Public Act 100-0022, enacted July 6, 2017.
In addition to the political challenge, attempts to expand service sales taxes will likely face legal challenges based on the Illinois Constitution, which states that all objects within a taxation class must be taxed uniformly.\(^{131}\) At least one Illinois Supreme Court case suggests that the uniformity clause prohibits adding individual services to the current sales tax laws incrementally.\(^{132}\)

The final challenge to expanding service sales taxes is implementation. A broad-based service sales tax exempting only business-to-business services could take at least 18 to 24 months to implement fully.\(^{133}\) Even after legislative action is taken to authorize taxing services, the complexity of collecting the tax may require new rules for sourcing and other administrative guidelines. Some of the new procedures may require review and approval by the legislature’s Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. Other delays due to technology acquisition for businesses that do not currently collect sales taxes and connectivity with the Illinois Department of Revenue’s existing systems should also be assumed. Finally, there is a one-month lag between collecting sales taxes and remission to the State.

In light of these challenges, the Civic Federation recommends an initial extension of sales taxes to only those services currently taxed by the State of Wisconsin but not by Illinois. These fourteen services include entertainment; cable and internet; landscaping; parking and towing; repair of personal property; and contracts for the future performance of services.\(^{134}\)

While following the Wisconsin model would not broaden the tax base as widely as a more general service sales tax, it could face less widespread opposition and may be more feasible to implement on a short timeframe. A recent analysis by COGFA suggests that a sales tax on these services implemented in January 2019 could generate about $208 million in additional State revenue in FY2019 at the State’s 5.0% rate, growing to $588 million in FY2023.\(^{135}\) The estimate assumes only 67% compliance in the first year, 75% in the second year and 90% thereafter.

**The Civic Federation Recommendation on Expanding the Sales Tax Base**

The Civic Federation recommends that the State of Illinois expand the sales tax base to include the fourteen services taxed by the State of Wisconsin.

**Issue 5: Rainy Day Fund**

Building a financial cushion to deal with future economic downturns is a key element in restoring the State to fiscal stability. The possibility of a recession is factored into the most recent revenue projections by the Illinois Department of Revenue.\(^{136}\)

According to public finance experts, all governments should place a portion of their general operating revenues in a general fund reserve or “rainy day” fund.\(^{137}\) Rainy day funds are savings

---

\(^{131}\) Illinois Constitution, Art. IX, Sec. 2.

\(^{132}\) Fiorito v. Jones, 39 Ill.2d 531, 236, N.E. 2d 698 (Ill. 1968).

\(^{133}\) Communication between the Civic Federation and Illinois Department of Revenue, December 9, 2016.


accounts that governments can use to address revenue shortfalls or unanticipated expenditures and to help stabilize tax rates.

Governments that maintain adequate reserves are better positioned to deal with funding issues in bad times. Putting money into reserves is a more fiscally prudent action than spending surplus funds on new or expanded programs. The median rainy day fund balance among states in FY2017 was 5.4% of general funds expenditures, according to a survey by the National Association of State Budget Officers.\(^\text{138}\)

Illinois has not maintained a functional rainy day fund, although a law was enacted in 2004 to build such a fund.\(^\text{139}\) The law established a goal of maintaining 5% of General Funds revenues in an existing account called the Budget Stabilization Fund. According to the law, the fund would be used to reduce the need for future tax increases or short-term borrowing, maintain high credit ratings and address budgetary shortfalls. In authorizing withdrawals from the fund, priority was to be given to services for children. Deposits into the fund would be triggered by projected revenue growth of more than 4% from the prior year.

The fund has never received significant resources, however, apparently because annual revenue projections have not met the threshold requirement to trigger deposits into the fund.\(^\text{140}\) The balance of about $275 million at the end of FY2015 represented less than 1% of General Funds revenues.

Instead of being used to withstand fiscal emergencies, the fund was used for cash flow problems resulting from timing variations between receipt and disbursement of funds in a given fiscal year.\(^\text{141}\) By law, any cash flow borrowings transferred during a fiscal year from the Budget Stabilization Fund to the General Funds are to be reimbursed by a transfer back by the end of that fiscal year.\(^\text{142}\)

Due to the State’s financial crisis, this provision was changed to allow amounts in the Budget Stabilization Fund to be used to pay expenses and not repaid in FY2017.\(^\text{143}\) As part of the stopgap spending plan passed in June 2016, the Fund’s entire balance was appropriated to pay for State operations in FY2017.\(^\text{144}\)

Budget legislation in FY2018 made the Budget Stabilization Fund one of the State’s General Funds, which are now used interchangeably.\(^\text{145}\) The FY2018 budget authorized borrowing and


\(^{139}\) Public Act 93-660, enacted on February 2, 2004.

\(^{140}\) The law was amended to prohibit any deposits into the fund in FY2008.


\(^{142}\) 30 ILCS 105/6z-51(b). The law was amended to defer cash repayment for FY2011 until July 15, 2011.

\(^{143}\) Public Act 99-0523, signed on June 30, 2016.


transfers from accounts outside of General Funds to help pay down the bill backlog, and the Budget Stabilization Fund is a repository for those receipts.\textsuperscript{146}

The Civic Federation believes that the State should establish a true rainy day fund with a funding goal of 10\% of General Funds revenues. This goal was suggested by the legislature’s Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability (COGFA) in light of recent revenue volatility.\textsuperscript{147} COGFA examined two funding strategies—making deposits into the fund only when revenues are growing rapidly or making regular deposits regardless of revenue growth—and determined that each presented challenges. While funding mechanisms that depend on excess revenues can have wide variations in annual funding, regular funding puts annual pressure on the budget.\textsuperscript{148}

The budget plan presented in this report begins to create a functional rainy day fund in FY2023, after the State’s backlog of unpaid bills is paid off. The fund ends FY2023 with a balance of $344 million, or 0.8\% of projected revenues.

\textbf{Civic Federation Recommendation on Rainy Day Fund}

The State of Illinois should work toward building a rainy day fund equal to 10\% of General Funds revenues to cushion the budget from the next economic downturn. Legislation must explicitly indicate when deposits will be made and in what amount and the circumstances under which withdrawals will be allowed.

\textbf{Issue 6: Constitutional Amendment to Clarify the Pension Protection Clause}

The State of Illinois has unfunded public employee pension liabilities of approximately $130 billion\textsuperscript{149} and many local governments are either straining under the cost of employee pensions or facing the possibility that the funds will run out of money to pay retirees.

In May 2015, the Illinois Supreme Court struck down reforms passed by the Illinois General Assembly in 2013 that reduced pension benefits for some State employees and retirees.\textsuperscript{150} The reforms of Public Act 98-0599 for the four State pension funds included an actuarially sound employer pension contribution schedule, a limitation on the automatic annual annuity increase for both current employees and retirees, a pensionable salary cap, phased-in increases in the retirement age and a one percentage point decrease in employee contributions to the plan. These reforms were ruled a violation of the Illinois Constitution’s pension protection clause.

\textsuperscript{146} Public Act 100-0023, enacted on July 6, 2017.
\textsuperscript{149} Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecast and Accountability, \textit{Monthly Briefing for the Month Ended: November 2017}, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{150} Illinois Supreme Court opinion is available at http://www.illinoiscourts.gov/OPINIONS/SupremeCourt/2015/118585.pdf (last accessed February 8, 2018).
In March 2016, pension funding and benefit reforms enacted in 2014 for the City of Chicago’s Municipal and Laborers’ Pension Funds were struck down by the Illinois Supreme Court as well.\textsuperscript{151} Public Act 98-0641 made changes to pension benefit levels for current retirees and employee members of the two funds and increased employee and employer contributions to the funds. The Illinois Supreme Court again found the reforms to be in violation of the Illinois Constitution’s pension protection clause. Of note, the Court ruled that members of the Funds are entitled to receive the benefits they were promised and “not merely to receive whatever happens to remain in the Funds.” How the courts could enforce funding if any of the pension funds were to go insolvent is unclear.

In recent years, the State of Illinois has attempted to accommodate increased pension contributions by cutting benefits for future employees, increasing income taxes, reducing non-pension spending, maintaining a large backlog of unpaid bills and, as in FY2018, changing actuarial assumptions in order to reduce current contributions. However, even these actions could not generate enough funding to cover the costs of the pensions. The State’s unfunded liabilities and required contributions have continued to increase even as it made its statutorily required contributions to the funds. Pension costs are expected to account for 22.9\% of all General Funds spending in FY2018, up from 6.8\% in FY2008. Non-pension costs have declined to an estimated 77.1\% of spending from 93.2\%.

Local governments are also facing burgeoning pension liabilities that have required significant increases to property taxes in Chicago and a huge one percentage point increase in the Cook County sales tax rate, and in the case of Chicago its funding schedule will require hundreds of millions more in yet-to-be-named revenue enhancements in 2020 and 2022.

If pension benefits cannot be changed under the current Illinois Supreme Court’s interpretation of the Illinois Constitution’s pension protection clause, then large and growing amounts of State and local revenues will continue to need to be diverted from spending on crucial government services such as social services and public safety. The Civic Federation does not believe that it is fiscally sustainable for the State of Illinois to continue to spend such a large portion of its budget to defray the cost of benefits for workers who have long since ceased to provide services to the residents of the State of Illinois. However, it is also important to note that many public employees in Illinois do not have access to Social Security benefits for their time working for government and therefore their pension is their only source of retirement security.

Therefore, the Civic Federation recommends that the Illinois General Assembly vote to place a proposed Constitutional amendment on the ballot no later than the 2020 general election that would clarify the pension protection clause of the Illinois Constitution such that the Illinois General Assembly would be allowed to statutorily make reasonable and moderate changes to the pension benefits of current employees and retirees deemed necessary to secure the sustainability of the State and local governments. The people of Illinois deserve the opportunity to weigh in on such an important matter that will determine the level of services available in the future to the State of Illinois’ most vulnerable populations and the sustainability of the pensions themselves.

\textsuperscript{151} Illinois Supreme Court opinion is available at http://www.illinoiscourts.gov/Opinions/SupremeCourt/2016/119618.pdf (last accessed February 8, 2018).
Civic Federation Recommendation on Constitutional Amendment to Clarify the Pension Protection Clause

The Illinois General Assembly should vote to place a Constitutional amendment on the ballot no later than the 2020 general election that would clarify the pension protection clause and allow reasonable, moderate changes to current employee and retiree benefits necessary to secure the financial sustainability of the State and local governments and the pension systems themselves.

Issue 7: Supplemental Pension Payments

The likelihood of successfully enacting a constitutional amendment is not guaranteed. Moreover, the enactment of an amendment and subsequent statutory reforms mean that savings to the State cannot occur until at least FY2021. In the meantime, the State must make plans to address inadequate pension funding under current constitutional law.

For many years, the State of Illinois has maintained the official position that a 90% funded ratio for its pensions is an adequate target.152 Public Act 88-593 enacted a 50-year contribution schedule that would achieve 90% funding by 2045.153 As part of the Act, the Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability is required by law to revisit the adequacy of the 90% target every five years,154 and it affirmed this view most recently in 2006155 and 2011156. As part of its 2016 review, however, COGFA presented the view of its actuary, Segal, that the 90% target is insufficient.157 The Civic Federation endorses the view that an actuarially sound pension payment plan calculates annual contributions to achieve a target of 100% funding within, at most, 30 years. No well-run pension fund has a funding target level of less than 100%.

Under the pension reform law that was passed in 2013, the State would have moved to an actuarially based 30-year funding plan and made supplemental contributions to achieve 100% funding even sooner.158 However, these provisions were overturned when the pension reform law was ruled unconstitutional in its entirety by the Illinois Supreme Court.159 The State now remains on its original inadequate 50-year funding plan. According to the five pension systems’ FY2017 actuarial valuations, even after making its full statutory contribution, pension liabilities are expected to continue to grow annually, not beginning to drop until FY2029.160

152 40 ILCS 1-103.3(b).
154 40 ILCS 1-103.3(c).
158 Public Act 98-0599, enacted on December 5, 2013.
159 In re Pension Reform Litigation, 2015 IL 118585, May 8, 2015.
160 Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability, Special Pension Briefing, November 2017, p. 11.
Moreover, the retroactive assumption smoothing measure included in Public Act 100-0023, which lowered the FY2018 contribution by $908.7 million dollars, will exacerbate the unfunded ratio and increase required payments later on.\textsuperscript{161} Thus far no system has published an actuarial evaluation of the Tier 3 normal cost shift, and its effect on the systems’ unfunded liabilities is not yet known.\textsuperscript{162}

Under Public Act 98-0599, the State would have been required to make supplemental payments totaling $364 million in FY2019 and $1.0 billion annually thereafter to the Pension Stabilization Fund until FY2045 or when the systems are all 100% funded. The payments would be transferred to the Pension Stabilization Fund and distributed among the five State retirement systems. Under the law, the additional assets from the supplemental payments could be used when calculating the funding ratios of the various pension funds but not when determining the annual contributions. The State was also prohibited from using any of the funds transferred into the Pension Stabilization Fund to offset or replace its actuarially based contribution. These restrictions were intended to make the supplemental payments a pure add-on to its required annual contributions.

A supplemental payment plan similar to the one included in the 2013 pension reform law could still be affordable. General Funds contributions for debt service are currently scheduled to drop by $575 million from FY2019 to FY2020 due to the maturity of the Series 2011 pension obligation bonds. Replacing this drop with a supplemental payment would help to put the State on track to reach 100% funding within the next 30 years.

Based on the FY2017 actuarial estimates,\textsuperscript{163} if the State made eleven annual supplemental payments of $600 million, starting in FY2020 and continuing through FY2034, followed by a payment of $481 million in FY2035, the State’s retirement systems would experience a decline in unfunded liabilities beginning in FY2026 and would be 100% funded by FY2045. Assuming a long-term rate of return equal to the current 7.0% used by the Teachers’ Retirement System, the $9.5 billion in supplemental payments plus their investment earnings would increase the assets of the retirement systems by $32.7 billion by FY2045.

\textsuperscript{161} Teachers’ Retirement System of the State of Illinois, “TRS follows new law and lowers the State’s FY2018 contribution by $531 million,” news release, August 23, 2017.
\textsuperscript{162} See p. 14 of this report for further analysis of the Tier 3 plan.
\textsuperscript{163} Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability, \textit{Special Pension Briefing}, November 2017, p. 11.
The following chart shows the effect of the proposed supplemental contribution schedule on the systems’ unfunded liabilities. A table showing the calculations is included in Appendix A.

The Civic Federation recommends requiring annual supplemental payments of $600 million from FY2020 through FY 2034 and $481 million in FY2035.

**Issue 8: Merger of the Chicago and State Teachers’ Pension Funds**

The Civic Federation recommends that the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) work with the General Assembly and the Teachers’ Retirement System (TRS) to consolidate the Chicago Teachers’ Pension Fund (CTPF) with TRS.

In conjunction with this change, CPS should resume paying for the normal cost of its plan, which became the State’s responsibility under education funding reform legislation passed in 2017.\(^{164}\)

---

\(^{164}\) Public Act 100-0465, enacted on August 31, 2017. The legislation’s funding formula effectively contributes a portion of CPS’ unfunded liability costs by removing the amount that CPS must contribute to these costs from its local funding capacity. This amount would be eliminated from the funding formula under the Civic Federation’s merger proposal.
Responsibility for paying all of the normal cost of each school district outside of Chicago should be shifted over three years to that school district.

Consolidating CTPF and TRS would eliminate the current inequitable funding structure under which Chicago taxpayers pay for most of the unfunded pension liability costs of Chicago teachers as well as teachers outside the city. It would also achieve some cost efficiencies as duplicative functions were eliminated.

Under a consolidation plan, the CTPF and TRS systems would be managed by a single pension board that would have proportional representation for both teachers’ pension funds. However, the current member plans would be maintained as separate accounts. The State of Illinois would assume responsibility for the unfunded liability of CTPF, while CPS would resume funding the pension fund’s normal cost (the annual cost of the pension plan’s benefits). Updated numbers from the CTPF are not yet available, but in FY2017, the State assumption of the CTPF unfunded liability would have reduced its FY2017 required contribution by approximately $531.4 million.

The merger proposal would require changes to the new education funding formula and elimination of a Tier 3 pension plan authorized as part of the FY2018 budget. The Tier 3 plan, which is not expected to be implemented until FY2020, would require school districts to pay pension costs for new teachers.

The Civic Federation believes that school districts in Illinois should assume funding the full normal cost of their employee pensions. The responsibility for contributing to a worker’s pension should rest with the employer who determines the worker’s salary. The shift would help inject greater fiscal accountability into school district operations and budgeting and would eventually offset the State’s additional cost of taking on the unfunded liability of Chicago teachers’ pensions.

In FY2019 the State’s statutorily required contribution to TRS is $4.47 billion, with about $1.03 billion going toward the normal cost. The shift of the State’s share of normal cost to school districts could be achieved gradually, over a period of three years, to allow school districts sufficient time to adjust to the change. To help pay for the normal cost of teachers’ pensions, school districts could end the practice of paying or “picking up” all or a share of the annual 9.4% employee pension contribution.

It is reasonable for the State of Illinois to continue to assume financial responsibility for the unfunded liability of all school districts because:

- The State created the current expensive and unsustainable situation that has led to $73.4 billion in unfunded liability and a funded ratio of 40.2% for TRS as of June 30, 2017.

---

165 Public Act 100-0023, enacted on July 6, 2017. The Civic Federation takes no position on Tier 3’s hybrid defined benefit/defined contribution plan, which is not expected to affect the State’s contribution amount. This portion of the Tier 3 plan could be retained even as the cost shift is replaced.


167 Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecast and Accountability, *Monthly Briefing for the Month Ended: November 2017*. These figures are based on asset smoothing.
and $9.6 billion in unfunded liability and a funded ratio of 52.4% for CTPF as of June 30, 2016; and

- Paying these enormous costs is beyond the capability of local school districts to readily absorb. This is particularly the case because they rely heavily on property taxes to fund their operations and many are subject to the property tax extension limitation law (PTELL), which limits levy increases to 5% or the rate of inflation, whichever is less.

To achieve both equity and accountability, the State should assume responsibility for CTPF’s unfunded liability rather than paying for the District’s normal costs.

**Civic Federation Recommendation on CPS and State Teachers’ Pension Funding Reform**

The Civic Federation recommends that the Chicago Teachers’ Pension Fund be consolidated with the Teachers’ Retirement System and that the State assume responsibility for the unfunded liability of CTPF. The Federation also recommends that the Chicago Public Schools resume paying for the normal cost of Chicago teachers’ pensions and that responsibility for the normal cost of pensions for all teachers outside of Chicago be shifted from the State of Illinois to local school districts over three years.

**Issue 9: Restructuring Illinois’ Public University System**

Illinois’ nine public universities are a significant asset for residents and businesses, educating students to reach their full potential and providing the skilled workforce needed for a competitive and prosperous state. But Illinois has been starving its universities of current operating funding for years, with no apparent plan for the most effective allocation of severely limited State resources.

Since FY2002, the peak year for public university operating funding, General Funds appropriations have declined from $1.50 billion to $1.08 billion in FY2018.\(^{169}\) That represents a decrease of almost 28% in nominal terms, or about 47% after adjusting for inflation.

Funding was sharply restricted during the State’s budget impasse in FY2016 and FY2017, resulting in dwindling financial reserves, job cuts and program eliminations.\(^{170}\) The budget crisis has been blamed for falling enrollments, loss of faculty and declining confidence in Illinois’ public university system.\(^{171}\)

Like other areas of the State budget, operating funding for universities has been crowded out by pension costs, which are mainly related to Illinois’ massive unfunded liability. General Funds contributions to the pension fund that covers university and community college employees

---


\(^{170}\) For more information about recent funding of higher education, see p. 14 of this report.

climbed to $1.4 billion in FY2018 from $232.1 million in FY2002.\textsuperscript{172} The State also pays for health insurance for university employees and retirees, at a cost of $891.0 million in FY2015.\textsuperscript{173}

As State operating funding for public universities has declined, tuitions have increased to make up the difference.\textsuperscript{174} Illinois’ average tuition and fees for in-state students were the fifth highest in the U.S. in the 2017-2018 school year.\textsuperscript{175}

The share of Illinois high school graduates enrolling in four-year colleges who went to out-of-state institutions rose to 46% in the fall of 2016 from 29% in 2002.\textsuperscript{176} Among the other states, only New Jersey had more graduates enrolling elsewhere. Of the students who left Illinois, 62% enrolled in out-of-state public universities in 2016, compared with 54% in 2002.

Undergraduate enrollment across the nine universities’ twelve campuses fell by 9.9% from the fall of 2008 to 2017, based on a preliminary headcount. As shown in the chart below, the enrollment trends vary significantly by institution, ranging from decreases of 59.8% at Chicago State University and 45.7% at Eastern Illinois to increases of 24.1% at the University of Illinois at Chicago and 27.5% at Governors State. The universities with the steepest enrollment declines during the two-year budget impasse had seen persistent decreases prior to that period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>% Change 2008-2017</th>
<th>% Change 2015-2017</th>
<th>FY2017 Fall Enrollment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governors State University</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>-6.8%</td>
<td>3,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U of I at Chicago</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>19,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U of I at Urbana-Champaign</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>33,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Illinois University Edwardsville</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>11,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U of I at Springfield</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>2,932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois State University</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>18,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern Illinois University</td>
<td>-20.9%</td>
<td>-12.1%</td>
<td>7,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Illinois University</td>
<td>-27.0%</td>
<td>-10.5%</td>
<td>13,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Illinois University</td>
<td>-29.2%</td>
<td>-16.9%</td>
<td>7,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Illinois University Carbondale</td>
<td>-31.2%</td>
<td>-15.7%</td>
<td>10,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Illinois University</td>
<td>-45.7%</td>
<td>-22.7%</td>
<td>5,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago State University</td>
<td>-59.8%</td>
<td>-39.5%</td>
<td>2,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Universities Total</td>
<td>-9.9%</td>
<td>-5.2%</td>
<td>136,209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Enrollment numbers are based on headcount. Numbers for FY2017 are preliminary.

Source: Illinois Board of Higher Education.


\textsuperscript{173} Strategy Labs at the Lumina Foundation, \textit{Illinois Postsecondary Investments}, May 2017, p. 12. This figure is net of university reimbursements to the State of $45.0 million.


\textsuperscript{175} College Board, \textit{Trends in Higher Education: Tuition and Fees by Sector and State Over Time}, 2017, p.15.

Demographic trends do not look bright for higher education in Illinois. After increasing for 15 years through 2013, the number of U.S. high school graduates is expected to be roughly flat until 2023, grow for three years and then decline through the early 2030s. The projected decreases are mainly in the Midwest and Northeast, with Illinois expected to see its annual high school graduation numbers decline by 16.1% from 149,000 in 2013 to 125,000 in 2032.

To address these complicated and far-reaching issues, the Civic Federation supports the creation of a bipartisan commission to study the restructuring of Illinois’ public universities. The commission should consider the elimination of duplicative higher education programs, reallocation of resources across programs and campuses and the closure or consolidation of campuses. Governor Rauner reportedly plans to establish such a commission.

A first step toward rationalizing the public university system is to put all of the institutions under the control of one governing board. Since 1996, each of Illinois’ nine public universities has been governed by its own board. Before that time, the University of Illinois and Southern Illinois University had their own boards, while the other institutions were overseen by either the Board of Regents or the Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities.

Supporters of the 1996 reorganization maintained that separate boards would save money and reduce layers of bureaucracy. But education experts believe that the current governance structure has weakened the influence of the Board of Higher Education, making it more difficult to establish statewide goals and allocate resources strategically. In a recent report, the Lumina Foundation concluded that the current structure essentially created an environment in which universities compete against each other for resources. The structure has led to limited accountability and lack of coordination among campuses, according to higher education stakeholders cited in the report.

**Civic Federation Recommendation on Restructuring Illinois’ Public University System**

The Civic Federation recommends that the Governor create a bipartisan commission to address the need to eliminate duplicative university programs, more effectively allocate resources across programs and campuses and study the potential need to close or consolidate campuses. The Federation also recommends that the nine universities be governed by a single Board of Trustees to facilitate the establishment of statewide goals and rational allocation of State resources.

---

Issue 10: Comprehensive Capital Improvement Planning and Funding

Illinois has grappled with a number of financial challenges over the last decade, including structural deficits, pension underfunding and a two-year standoff without a budget. Now, some leaders and advocates have begun to demand renewed attention to how the State funds transportation and other infrastructure. In recent testimony before the Illinois General Assembly House Transportation Committee, the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning stated that the State’s transportation funding had “reached a crisis.” The Metropolitan Planning Council accused Illinois of putting transportation on a “starvation diet.”

Illinois has not had a major capital program since 2009. The Illinois Jobs Now! FY2010 capital budget included $18.0 billion in new projects as well as $11.0 billion of reappropriations from previous years. Since then, new appropriations have averaged approximately $3.3 billion annually.

The most recent state report card issued by the American Society of Civil Engineers gave Illinois a C- for the overall quality of its infrastructure. The road and transit categories both received a D+. The Federal Highway Administration reports 2,243 structurally deficient bridges in Illinois, 8.4% of the State’s total. A recent report by the Regional Transportation Authority accuses the State of chronically underfunding transit and states that capital needs are outpacing uncertain revenues.

In addition to transportation infrastructure, the Governor’s FY2018 Capital Budget calls attention to other infrastructure needs, such as the $7.0 billion backlog in deferred maintenance at State facilities, modernization of the State’s information technology systems and abating lead contamination throughout Illinois.

Addressing Illinois’ infrastructure needs will not be cheap. The Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) estimates that additional revenues of $1.7 billion annually are needed to keep up with maintenance of existing highway and transit infrastructure. Needed improvements in these systems would cost an additional $2.25 billion each year. These figures

190 Communication between the Civic Federation and the Illinois Department of Transportation, December 1, 2017.
do not include other important infrastructure, such as airports, freight rail, waterways and non-transportation infrastructure.

While the need to maintain and expand infrastructure in the State is not in doubt, Illinois must weigh these priorities against other pressing demands on its fiscal resources. In order to justify embarking on a new major capital program, the State must satisfy two requirements. The first is the development of a capital improvement plan that comprehensively assesses and prioritizes the State’s needs. The Civic Federation opposed the Illinois Jobs Now! program and subsequent capital budgets for lack of such a plan.\footnote{Institute for Illinois’ Fiscal Sustainability at the Civic Federation, State of Illinois FY2010 Recommended Operating and Capital Budgets, Analysis and Recommendations, May 11, 2009, p. 5; Institute for Illinois’ Fiscal Sustainability at the Civic Federation blog, “Despite Operating Deficit Capital Spending Still Growing,” March 17, 2010, https://civicfed.org/iifs/blog/despite-operating-deficit-capital-spending-still-growing-0 (last accessed February 8, 2018).} Taxpayers deserve to understand how money will be spent before taxes or fees are raised.

The second requirement is to identify a reliable, long-term source of funding. The Illinois Jobs Now! plan relied on a collection of revenue sources, such as video poker, leasing the state lottery, liquor taxes, vehicle license fees and expanding the sales tax on candy, sweetened beverages and some hygiene products.\footnote{Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability, Capital Plan Analysis FY2018, April 2017, p. 9.} However, few of these sources have ever produced as much revenue as was originally forecast.

In November 2016 voters approved a lockbox amendment to the Illinois Constitution that restricts some transportation-derived revenue sources to transportation-related expenditures.\footnote{Rummana Hussain, “Illinois voters approve ‘Safe Roads Amendment’,” Chicago Sun-Times, November 8, 2016.} While proponents argued that the amendment would prevent fund sweeps that divert transportation funds for other uses, the amendment did not result in expanded revenues for those funds.

Additionally, while the General Assembly’s FY2018 budget held transportation expenditures flat to FY2017 levels,\footnote{Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, “FY18 budget impacts on state finances, regional transportation, and local governments,” http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/updates/all/-/asset_publisher/UIMfSLnFfMB6/content/fy18-budget-impacts-on-state-finance-regional-transportation-and-local-governments (last accessed February 8, 2018).} according to IDOT officials it shifted approximately $300 million in transportation expenses from General Funds to transportation-related funds, effectively reducing transportation spending by that amount.\footnote{Communication between the Civic Federation and the Illinois Department of Transportation, December 4, 2017.}

Finally, it is unclear when or if Illinois could receive any assistance under a federal infrastructure program.\footnote{Jim Tankersley and Julie Hirschfeld Davis, “Trump’s $1.5 Trillion Infrastructure Plan Is Light on Federal Funds, and Details,” The New York Times, January 31, 2018.} If the State is to go forward with a capital plan, it must identify a stable revenue source on its own.

For decades the standard funding source for capital investments in transportation has been the motor fuel tax (MFT). Although more reliable than video poker, the revenue produced by the
MFT has eroded over time. Illinois has not raised the MFT from the flat rate of $0.19 per gallon in over 28 years.\textsuperscript{197} Since that time, construction costs have doubled while gas tax revenue has grown by only 20%.\textsuperscript{198} Since 2013, 24 states have raised or reformed their gas taxes, including three that border Illinois.\textsuperscript{199} The Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning suggests that Illinois may need to increase the tax by $0.10 to $0.15 per gallon to meet its transportation needs.\textsuperscript{200}

Illinois has made the pain of raising the MFT more difficult by being one of only a few states that also assess a general sales tax on gasoline.\textsuperscript{201} However, the revenue produced by the sales tax is not tied to transportation funding. The FY2018 budget also eliminated a 20% sales tax discount on gasoline blended with ethanol,\textsuperscript{202} which includes most gasoline sold in the Midwest.\textsuperscript{203} The elimination of the discount, which the Civic Federation advocated,\textsuperscript{204} is expected to produce approximately $100 million for the State’s General Funds.\textsuperscript{205}

In addition to the State sales tax, Chicago-area drivers pay more for special reformulated gasoline required by the Environmental Protection Agency.\textsuperscript{206} The metro area is in “non-attainment status” due to poor air quality.\textsuperscript{207} Despite these high costs, further raising the MFT could encourage alternatives to driving and help improve Chicago air quality.

Despite the relative stability of the MFT, transportation experts have concerns about its long-term viability. Average fuel efficiency has risen and is expected to continue rising.\textsuperscript{208} Transportation planners have recommended examining both congestion pricing and a vehicle
miles traveled (VMT) tax, which would assess drivers based on the distance they drive, ensuring the reliability of revenues even as cars become more fuel efficient.\textsuperscript{209} A number of other states are testing VMT pilot programs to assess the feasibility of implementation and address the privacy concerns inherent in tracking vehicles.\textsuperscript{210}

**The Civic Federation Recommendation on Planning and Funding Capital Infrastructure**

The Civic Federation recommends that the State of Illinois initiate a new capital plan that comprehensively assesses and prioritizes infrastructure needs. The road and transit portion of the plan should be initially funded by an increase in the motor fuel tax, which has not been raised since 1990. The State should further consider vehicle miles traveled and congestion taxes to ensure the long-term sustainability of transportation funding revenues.

**Issue 11: Consolidating and Streamlining Government Units in Illinois**

The State of Illinois has by far the highest number of local governments in any state, at 6,963, according to the United States Census Bureau.\textsuperscript{211} The multiplicity of local units of government, many of which are funded predominantly by property taxes, is often cited as a reason for high property tax rates in Illinois.\textsuperscript{212}

In addition to recommending the merger of the Chicago Teachers’ Pension Fund with the Teachers’ Retirement System, the Civic Federation supports the following government consolidation initiatives: \textsuperscript{213}

- **Consolidate local pension funds:** There are over 600 local pension funds in the State, each with its own governing board, most of which are police and fire funds for individual municipalities. While these funds may enjoy local control over investing and disability decisions, the Federation believes that overall investment performance and administrative efficiency generated by economies of scale would greatly improve if funds were consolidated.

- **Merge the offices of the Illinois Comptroller and Treasurer:** The Illinois Constitution currently divides the State’s main fiscal operations between two offices: the Illinois Treasurer is responsible for collecting and investing state revenue while the Illinois Comptroller is responsible for paying bills from those accounts.\textsuperscript{214} Several states have

\textsuperscript{209} Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, “Transportation System Funding Concepts,” August 2016, p. 5, http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/documents/10180/570463/Transportation+System+Funding+Concepts/a40cfa4a-4743-4efb-83c3-44f1d1d0eff0 (last accessed February 8, 2018).
\textsuperscript{212} Illinois ranked seventh among the states in per capita property taxes collected in 2013 and was the highest ranking states in the Midwest. For more information, see Illinois General Assembly, Commission on Government Forecasting and Accountability, Illinois’ National Rankings – 2016 Update, November 2016, p. 30.
\textsuperscript{213} For more information on these proposals, see Civic Federation, The Civic Federation 2018 Legislative Priorities 100th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, November 9, 2017, https://www.civicfed.org/2018priorities (last accessed on February 8, 2018).
already combined those operations for greater efficiency including Wisconsin, Michigan and Minnesota. The Civic Federation supports an amendment to the Illinois Constitution to merge the offices of the Illinois Comptroller and Treasurer.

- **Authorize any township to be dissolved by referendum:** The Illinois Constitution appears to permit dissolution of townships by referendum.\(^{215}\) However, because of confusion surrounding procedures, past efforts have been difficult or delayed. Public Act 100-107, signed into law in 2017, clarified various rules with regard to township consolidation, including authorizing dissolution of coterminous townships by referendum. While this is an important first step, the Civic Federation sees no good public policy reason why the intent of the Illinois Constitution should not be reflected in Illinois law. As such, the Federation encourages the Illinois General Assembly to pass legislation to authorize dissolution of *any* township via referendum.

- **Consolidate property tax administration roles in Cook County:** Administration of the Cook County property tax function is primarily handled by three different elected county officials (Assessor, Clerk and Treasurer), leading to taxpayer confusion about whom to contact with questions or complaints about the tax. The lines of responsibility are nearly impossible for ordinary taxpayers to discern and politicians exploit this fact to their political advantage. Building on the eventual consolidation of the offices of the Cook County Recorder of Deeds and the Cook County Clerk before December 2020,\(^{216}\) the Civic Federation recommends that a unified property tax administration office be created. The new office would merge the Treasurer’s office; the County Clerk’s tax extension, tax redemption and map divisions; the part of the current-Recorder’s office dealing with property records; and the Auditor’s property functions. It would be an appointed rather than an elected office. Other states have moved to allow for consolidation of property tax administration roles. Minnesota state law allows its counties’ Auditor and Treasurer positions to be combined and made either an appointed or elected position.\(^{217}\) Additionally, the offices of Assessor and Auditor, Treasurer, or Auditor-Treasurer may be combined, subject to rules about compatibility of offices and restrictions as to holding other elected positions. However, according to an opinion of the Cook County State’s Attorney’s Office, creating a unified Office of Property Tax Administration would require legislation be passed by the Illinois General Assembly and could not be done solely via County referendum or administratively.\(^{218}\)

- **Dissolve the Illinois International Port District:** The Illinois International Port District should be dissolved because the District is failing to fulfill its principal mission of promoting shipping and port operations and is instead focused on its Harborside International Golf Center. In July 2013, Mayor Emanuel announced plans to privatize the

\(^{215}\) Illinois Constitution, Art. VII, Sec. 5.


\(^{217}\) If the position is made appointed, a referendum must be held. Minnesota House of Representatives Research Department, “County Offices: Combining or Making Appointed,” November 2011.

\(^{218}\) Office of Tax Administration Report, Prepared by Representatives of the County Board President, Cook County Assessor, Cook County Clerk, Cook County Treasurer and State’s Attorney.
Port District. Following the announcement, the only potential bidder withdrew from negotiations in October 2013. Port District Board Meeting minutes indicate negotiations for a Master Lease were ongoing through at least November 2016. Recently, the Port District asked the State of Illinois to pass HB 1797, which would forgive approximately $15 million in Port District debt. Governor Rauner vetoed the bill following passage by both houses of the Illinois General Assembly. However, both houses later voted successfully to override the Governor’s veto, thereby forgiving the Port District’s debt to the State. Port District leaders have stated that its debt load must be reduced to improve its ability to enter into a privatization agreement. Due to ongoing serious concerns, the Civic Federation continues to call for the dissolution of the Illinois International Port District. After the District has been dissolved, the City of Chicago should consider transferring the District’s open lands to the Forest Preserve District of Cook County and its golf courses to the Chicago Park District.

**Future Tax and Budget Reforms**

The Civic Federation’s comprehensive plan will stabilize the State’s finances by eliminating the backlog of bills and making progress toward building a rainy day fund to help prevent future fiscal crises. Achieving these goals must be the highest priority.

Once the State pays off its unpaid bill backlog and begins to make progress toward building a rainy day fund, it should pursue the following measures to give the State’s finances more long-term sustainability:

- **Lapse Period Spending**: The lapse period is the period of time after the end of the fiscal year during which the next year’s revenues can be used to pay for the current year’s bills. Because of the large amount of payables outstanding at the end of the fiscal year, this period was extended to December 31 from August 31 beginning in FY2013. The ability to roll bills over into the next year gives the State flexibility during times of financial crisis, but it also undermines responsible budgeting. Once the State pays off its bill backlog, the lapse period should be returned to two months, a reasonable period of time to process and pay bills.

- **Section 25 Liabilities**: The State should phase out the use of Section 25 liabilities and other practices that allow prior year’s costs to be paid from the current year’s appropriations. An annual budget should reflect that year’s costs. The practice of moving costs from one year to the next has allowed the State to disguise budget deficits and avoid development of a structurally balanced budget.

- **University Pension Normal Costs**: The Civic Federation believes that the responsibility for contributing to a worker’s pension should rest with the employer who determines the

---

219 Civic Federation Local Government Committee Meeting with Illinois International Port District, September 18, 2017.
220 30 ILCS 105/25(m).
221 For more information on Section 25 liabilities, see p. 21 of this report.
worker’s salary. Shifting the normal cost of State University Retirement System contributions to public universities and community colleges could help inject greater fiscal accountability into operations and budgeting. This shift should be considered by the bipartisan commission on higher education reform recommended by this report.

- **Graduated Personal Income Tax:** At the beginning of FY2018 the General Assembly overrode the Governor’s veto to raise Illinois’ personal income tax from 3.75% to 4.95%. A permanent income tax at this level is burdensome for low income taxpayers, despite the simultaneous increase in the earned income tax credit from 10% to 18% of the federal credit. The State should consider a modestly graduated rate structure with a maximum spread between the highest and lowest rates of 3.0 percentage points, which would generate additional revenue and could lower rates for low income taxpayers and protect taxpayers from excessive disparities. Moving from a flat tax rate to a graduated rate structure would require an amendment to the Illinois Constitution.

---

222 See p. 46 of this report for the Civic Federation’s recommendation to shift pension normal costs for the Teachers’ Retirement System to downstate school districts.

223 See p. 48.

224 Public Act 100-0022, enacted July 6, 2017.

225 Ill. Const. art. IX, sec. 3(a). Among nearby states, Indiana and Michigan have flat individual income tax rates; Iowa, Kentucky, Minnesota, Missouri and Wisconsin have graduated rate structures. For more information, see Federal Tax Administrators, *State Individual Income Taxes 2017*, updated November 29, 2017.
APPENDIX A: SUPPLEMENTAL PENSION PAYMENTS

The following table shows the application of the supplemental payments and the effect on the funded status of the retirement systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$227,849</td>
<td>$92,439</td>
<td>$(135,410)</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$92,439</td>
<td>$(135,410)</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$234,431</td>
<td>$96,299</td>
<td>$(138,132)</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$96,299</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$240,924</td>
<td>$101,644</td>
<td>$(139,280)</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$642</td>
<td>$1,242</td>
<td>$102,886</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$247,291</td>
<td>$106,411</td>
<td>$(140,880)</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$1,329</td>
<td>$1,929</td>
<td>$108,340</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$253,511</td>
<td>$111,151</td>
<td>$(142,360)</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$2,064</td>
<td>$2,664</td>
<td>$113,815</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>$259,568</td>
<td>$115,908</td>
<td>$(143,660)</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$2,850</td>
<td>$3,450</td>
<td>$119,359</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$265,443</td>
<td>$120,690</td>
<td>$(144,753)</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$3,692</td>
<td>$4,292</td>
<td>$124,982</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>$271,112</td>
<td>$125,531</td>
<td>$(145,580)</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$4,592</td>
<td>$5,192</td>
<td>$130,724</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$276,540</td>
<td>$130,432</td>
<td>$(146,108)</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$5,556</td>
<td>$6,156</td>
<td>$136,588</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>$281,728</td>
<td>$135,403</td>
<td>$(146,326)</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$6,587</td>
<td>$7,187</td>
<td>$142,590</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>$286,665</td>
<td>$140,476</td>
<td>$(146,189)</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$7,690</td>
<td>$8,290</td>
<td>$148,766</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$291,328</td>
<td>$145,647</td>
<td>$(145,681)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$8,870</td>
<td>$9,470</td>
<td>$155,117</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031</td>
<td>$295,697</td>
<td>$150,945</td>
<td>$(144,752)</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$10,133</td>
<td>$10,733</td>
<td>$161,678</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032</td>
<td>$299,753</td>
<td>$156,430</td>
<td>$(143,322)</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$11,484</td>
<td>$12,084</td>
<td>$168,515</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2033</td>
<td>$303,549</td>
<td>$162,236</td>
<td>$(141,313)</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$12,930</td>
<td>$13,530</td>
<td>$175,766</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2034</td>
<td>$307,026</td>
<td>$169,261</td>
<td>$(137,765)</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$14,477</td>
<td>$15,077</td>
<td>$184,339</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>$310,176</td>
<td>$176,705</td>
<td>$(153,471)</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>$491</td>
<td>$16,133</td>
<td>$16,614</td>
<td>$193,318</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2036</td>
<td>$312,996</td>
<td>$184,634</td>
<td>$(128,364)</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>$17,777</td>
<td>$17,777</td>
<td>$202,410</td>
<td>$(110,588)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2037</td>
<td>$315,504</td>
<td>$193,132</td>
<td>$(122,372)</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>$19,021</td>
<td>$19,021</td>
<td>$212,153</td>
<td>$(103,351)</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2038</td>
<td>$317,807</td>
<td>$202,292</td>
<td>$(115,515)</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>$20,352</td>
<td>$20,352</td>
<td>$222,645</td>
<td>$(95,163)</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2039</td>
<td>$319,607</td>
<td>$212,194</td>
<td>$(107,412)</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>$21,777</td>
<td>$21,777</td>
<td>$233,971</td>
<td>$(85,635)</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2040</td>
<td>$321,225</td>
<td>$222,946</td>
<td>$(96,279)</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>$23,301</td>
<td>$23,301</td>
<td>$246,248</td>
<td>$(74,978)</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2041</td>
<td>$323,604</td>
<td>$234,669</td>
<td>$(88,935)</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>$24,933</td>
<td>$24,933</td>
<td>$259,601</td>
<td>$(64,002)</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2042</td>
<td>$323,792</td>
<td>$247,498</td>
<td>$(76,294)</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>$26,678</td>
<td>$26,678</td>
<td>$274,176</td>
<td>$(49,616)</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2043</td>
<td>$324,842</td>
<td>$261,579</td>
<td>$(63,264)</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>$28,545</td>
<td>$28,545</td>
<td>$290,124</td>
<td>$(34,719)</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2044</td>
<td>$325,824</td>
<td>$277,071</td>
<td>$(48,753)</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>$30,543</td>
<td>$30,543</td>
<td>$307,614</td>
<td>$(18,209)</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2045</td>
<td>$326,816</td>
<td>$294,135</td>
<td>$(32,682)</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>$32,682</td>
<td>$32,682</td>
<td>$326,816</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assumes supplemental contributions of $600 million annually from FY2020 through FY2034 and $410 million in FY2035. Earnings on these contributions, with a 7.0% long-term rate of return, will be sufficient to lower the unfunded liabilities of the funds to zero by FY2045.

APPENDIX B: FALL UNDERGRADUATE ENROLLMENT AT ILLINOIS PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES

The following chart shows fall undergraduate enrollment at the 12 campuses of Illinois’ nine public universities, as measured by headcount, from 2008 to 2017. The 2017 number is preliminary and data were not available for 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago State University</td>
<td>5,211</td>
<td>5,398</td>
<td>5,675</td>
<td>5,280</td>
<td>4,618</td>
<td>3,912</td>
<td>3,462</td>
<td>2,352</td>
<td>2,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Illinois University</td>
<td>10,261</td>
<td>10,225</td>
<td>9,970</td>
<td>9,657</td>
<td>8,975</td>
<td>7,640</td>
<td>7,202</td>
<td>5,957</td>
<td>5,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governors State University</td>
<td>2,608</td>
<td>2,791</td>
<td>2,993</td>
<td>2,943</td>
<td>3,178</td>
<td>3,585</td>
<td>3,570</td>
<td>3,517</td>
<td>3,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois State University</td>
<td>18,065</td>
<td>18,389</td>
<td>18,314</td>
<td>18,594</td>
<td>18,257</td>
<td>18,155</td>
<td>16,427</td>
<td>18,643</td>
<td>18,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern Illinois University</td>
<td>8,987</td>
<td>9,191</td>
<td>9,498</td>
<td>9,421</td>
<td>9,140</td>
<td>8,412</td>
<td>8,095</td>
<td>7,665</td>
<td>7,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Illinois University</td>
<td>18,431</td>
<td>18,277</td>
<td>17,886</td>
<td>17,306</td>
<td>16,552</td>
<td>15,435</td>
<td>15,027</td>
<td>14,079</td>
<td>13,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Illinois University</td>
<td>15,980</td>
<td>15,551</td>
<td>15,137</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>14,130</td>
<td>13,461</td>
<td>13,031</td>
<td>12,182</td>
<td>10,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbondale</td>
<td>10,977</td>
<td>11,144</td>
<td>11,305</td>
<td>11,428</td>
<td>11,341</td>
<td>11,421</td>
<td>11,781</td>
<td>11,720</td>
<td>11,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Illinois University</td>
<td>15,665</td>
<td>16,044</td>
<td>16,806</td>
<td>16,925</td>
<td>16,678</td>
<td>16,718</td>
<td>17,575</td>
<td>17,959</td>
<td>19,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwardsville</td>
<td>2,889</td>
<td>3,027</td>
<td>3,197</td>
<td>3,112</td>
<td>3,054</td>
<td>3,038</td>
<td>2,937</td>
<td>2,959</td>
<td>2,932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U of I at Chicago</td>
<td>31,417</td>
<td>31,477</td>
<td>31,540</td>
<td>32,256</td>
<td>32,281</td>
<td>32,959</td>
<td>33,368</td>
<td>33,932</td>
<td>33,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U of I at Springfield</td>
<td>10,735</td>
<td>10,553</td>
<td>10,474</td>
<td>10,520</td>
<td>10,263</td>
<td>9,645</td>
<td>9,141</td>
<td>8,543</td>
<td>7,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Illinois University</td>
<td>151,226</td>
<td>152,067</td>
<td>152,795</td>
<td>152,442</td>
<td>148,467</td>
<td>144,381</td>
<td>143,616</td>
<td>139,508</td>
<td>136,209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Enrollment numbers are based on headcount. Data for FY2013 were not available.