



THE CIVIC FEDERATION

2010 LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES

96th General Assembly of the State of Illinois

November 12, 2009

The Civic Federation is an independent, non-partisan government research organization founded in 1894 that works to maximize the quality and cost-effectiveness of government services in the Chicago region and State of Illinois. The Federation's membership includes business and professional leaders from a wide range of Chicago-area corporations, professional service firms and institutions.

**The Civic Federation
177 N. State Street, Suite 400
Chicago IL 60601
(312) 201-9066
www.civiced.org**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENACT PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PENSION REFORMS	2
BENEFIT REFORMS	2
1) <i>Reduce Pension Benefits.....</i>	2
2) <i>Prohibit Benefit Enhancements Unless They Are Fully Funded, Will Expire in Five Years, and the Plan is Over 90% Funded</i>	2
3) <i>Require Publication of Cost-Benefit Studies for any Early Retirement Initiative Proposals</i>	3
4) <i>Reject Adding DROP Benefits</i>	3
CONTRIBUTION REFORMS.....	3
1) <i>Require Employer Contributions to Relate to Funding Levels</i>	3
2) <i>Tie Pension Obligation Bond Issuance to Pension Reforms.....</i>	4
3) <i>Increase Employee Contributions.....</i>	4
GOVERNANCE REFORM	4
1) <i>Consolidate Local Pension Funds</i>	4
2) <i>Reform Pension Boards of Trustees to Balance Stakeholder Interests, Safeguard Assets.....</i>	4
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURE RECOMMENDATIONS	5
1) <i>Require Reporting of Basic Projections</i>	5
2) <i>Require Benefit Enhancement Reporting.....</i>	6
REQUIRE STATE GOVERNMENT TO DEVELOP, IMPLEMENT, AND PUBLISH PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS	6
REQUIRE THE STATE OF ILLINOIS TO DEVELOP, PUBLISH, AND IMPLEMENT A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN	6
CREATE A NEW GOVERNING BOARD FOR THE COOK COUNTY FOREST PRESERVE DISTRICT..	7
DISSOLVE THE ILLINOIS INTERNATIONAL PORT DISTRICT	8
CREATE A STATE COMMISSION TO STUDY MEDICAID FINANCING.....	9
REINSTATE MEANS-TESTED TRANSIT DISCOUNTS FOR SENIORS	10
REQUIRE ALL COUNTIES TO HOLD BUDGET HEARINGS	10
REQUIRE LARGE COUNTIES TO PRODUCE TIMELY ANNUAL FINANCIAL AUDITS.....	10
ENACT TAX INCREMENT FINANCING REPORTING REFORM	11
REQUIRE SCHOOL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTABILITY REFORMS	11
BUDGETING	12
INTERNAL CONTROLS	12
PLANNING FORMAL FINANCIAL POLICIES, LONG TERM FINANCIAL PLANNING, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN	13
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT TRAINING FOR SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS	14
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT	14
REQUIRE PUBLIC SCHOOLS TO REPORT ALL RECEIPTS FROM OUTSIDE FUNDING SOURCES TO THE SCHOOL DISTRICT.....	14
AUTHORIZE STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES TO ESTABLISH TRUST FUNDS FOR THE ADVANCE FUNDING OF RETIREE HEALTH CARE.....	14

ENACT PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PENSION REFORMS

The State of Illinois is facing future financial pension obligations of staggering proportions, and many local governments are straining under the growing cost of employee pensions. The Civic Federation urges the General Assembly to pass legislation to reform the five State retirement systems as well as local government pension plans in order to ensure the financial viability of our state and local governments as well as the retirement security of state and local employees and retirees. There is a very real risk that some pension plans may run out of money to pay for pensions in the near future if nothing is done.

The status quo for Illinois' state and local pension funds is not a responsible option. **The Civic Federation urges the General Assembly to take action immediately on four critical areas of pension reform: benefits, contributions, governance, and financial reporting.**

Benefit Reforms

Pension benefits have reached unaffordable levels for many governments in the State of Illinois and funding these benefits now threatens to crowd out spending on critical public services. The pension problem cannot be solved without reducing benefits to levels that are tolerable to taxpayers and do not shift the cost of today's government to tomorrow's citizens.

1) Reduce Pension Benefits

Governments whose pension funds are severely underfunded or whose employer contributions have become unaffordable should seek to reduce pension benefits. The Civic Federation recommends **five ways to reduce public pension benefits:**

- Increase minimum retirement age for unreduced benefits to match Social Security (currently age 67)
- Increase minimum years of service for unreduced benefits (e.g., from 25 to 30)
- Reduce the annuity cost of living increase (e.g., from 3% compounded to the lesser of 2% or ½ of the change in Consumer Price Index simple interest)
- Reduce the final average salary used for pension benefits (e.g., from average of last four years to average of last eight years)
- Reduce benefit formula multiplier (e.g., from 2.3% to 1.8%)

Benefit reductions should be considered for every state and local public pension plan, and where reductions cannot be negotiated for current and future employees, they should be implemented for new hires. Such changes might also include transition to a cash balance or defined contribution plan.

2) Prohibit Benefit Enhancements Unless They Are Fully Funded, Will Expire in Five Years, and the Plan is Over 90% Funded

Benefit enhancements are a major source of increased liabilities for pension funds. Employee groups often advocate for benefit enhancements with the expectation that investment returns or tax increases will finance the enhancements. But years of enhancements have led to pension

benefits that are now unaffordable for many governments and threaten to crowd out spending on public services.

The Civic Federation urges the General Assembly to **prohibit retirement benefit enhancements for any state or local government pension plan that is less than 90% funded.**

Any enhancements granted for a healthy fund (over 90% funded) **should only be permitted on a pay-as-you-go basis whereby employer and/or employee contributions are increased sufficiently to fully fund the enhancements.**

Public Act 94-0004, Illinois' 2005 pension reform law, requires that every new benefit increase made to one of the five state retirement systems must identify and provide for additional funding to fund the resulting annual accrued cost of the increase. The Act also requires that **any benefit increase expire after five years, subject to renewal.** The Civic Federation supports extending this reasonable control on benefit enhancements to the local public pension funds through a change in the state statutes governing these funds.

3) Require Publication of Cost-Benefit Studies for any Early Retirement Initiative Proposals

Early retirement programs are designed to reduce current payroll expenses by encouraging senior employees to retire early, but they often create substantial additional pension costs.

The Civic Federation recommends that the state and local governments be **required to conduct and publish comprehensive cost-benefit analyses before being permitted to implement early retirement programs** because these programs increase pension costs and effectively shift the price of government services from current taxpayers to future taxpayers.

4) Reject Adding DROP Benefits

The Civic Federation urges the General Assembly to **reject any proposals to offer Deferred Retirement Option Plans (DROP)** to state or local government employees as a means of retaining employees who have reached retirement age. These plans allow employees to accrue annuity payments while continuing to work for the employer. They constitute a pension benefit enhancement and create significant additional pension liabilities.

Contribution Reforms

Resolving the funding crisis facing state and local pension funds will require contribution reforms as well as benefit reforms.

1) Require Employer Contributions to Relate to Funding Levels

The employer contributions for eight major local government pension funds in the Chicago area are simply a multiple of past employee contributions, with no relationship to the annual funded status of the plan.

The Civic Federation recommends that, at a minimum, **employer contributions for all funds be tied to funded ratios, such that additional contributions are required when the ratio drops**

below 90%. For those funds that are already well below 90%, a plan should be developed to gradually increase contributions until the 90% level is reached, similar to the statutes governing the Chicago Public Schools and the Chicago Transit Authority.

Ideally, all funds would adopt the funding model of the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund, which requires employer contributions to be **funded at levels consistent with the actuarially required annual employer contribution (ARC)**, rather than a multiple of employee contributions made two years prior. At a minimum, the multiple should be adjusted at regular intervals of three to five years to reflect the actuarially determined funding needs of the plan.

2) Tie Pension Obligation Bond Issuance to Pension Reforms

The Civic Federation recommends that **no state or local government be permitted to issue pension obligation bonds without first enacting substantial pension benefit reforms.** We supported the issuance of \$1.1 billion in pension obligation bonds for the Chicago Transit Authority because Public Act 95-0708 also required major benefit and contribution reforms. The Civic Federation does not support putting more money into pension funds without fixing some of the underlying problems causing chronic underfunding.

3) Increase Employee Contributions

The Civic Federation believes that employees need to share in the increased costs of public pension plans and recommends that all public employee contributions be increased at least one percentage point.

Governance Reform

The number and composition of pension boards of trustees should be changed in order to achieve economies of scale and to ensure that the trustees are well prepared for their role as fiduciaries of millions of dollars in invested assets.

1) Consolidate Local Pension Funds

The Civic Federation recommends that the General Assembly consolidate local pension funds. There are over 600 local pension funds in the state, each with its own governing board, most of which are police and fire funds for individual municipalities. While these funds may enjoy local control over investing and disability decisions, we believe that the **overall investment performance and administrative efficiency generated by economies of scale would greatly improve if funds were consolidated into a multi-employer fund like the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund.**

2) Reform Pension Boards of Trustees to Balance Stakeholder Interests, Safeguard Assets

The mission of a public pension fund board of trustees should be to ensure effective benefit administration and safeguard the fund's assets through prudent investment oversight. Unfortunately, many pension boards also act as advocates on behalf of fund members, lobbying for benefit enhancements that ultimately increase the funds' liabilities.

As outlined in the Civic Federation's *Recommendations to Reform Pension Boards of Trustees Composition in Illinois*, the membership of most Illinois public pension boards does not reflect a balance of interests. The employer, employees, retirees, and taxpayers all have a stake in the management of the fund. Furthermore, we are concerned that not all pension board members have adequate financial knowledge or training for their role in setting policies and overseeing millions of dollars of investments. We urge the General Assembly to undertake state and local pension governance reform that will:

- **Balance employee and management representation so that employees and retirees do not hold the majority of seats;**
- **Develop a tripartite structure that includes independent citizen representation on pension boards; and**
- **Include financial experts on pension boards and require financial training for non-experts.**

We commend **Representative Elaine Nekritz** (D-Northbrook) for introducing these reforms in House Bill 3400 in February 2007 and we ask the 96th General Assembly to consider them again.

Financial Reporting and Disclosure Recommendations

The minimal reporting currently required of pension funds by Illinois state statutes does not give citizens or other interested observers a complete picture of what the public pension situation means for future taxpayers and future budgets.

1) Require Reporting of Basic Projections

The Civic Federation believes that the state pension code should be amended to **require state and local pension funds to report four basic measures of fiscal health**. These are measures that can easily be calculated by the funds' actuaries and included in their actuarial reports. They should also be published for public access on the state Department of Financial Regulation's Division of Insurance website:

- 1) Projected funded ratios for the next 30 years;
- 2) Projected unfunded liabilities for the next 30 years;
- 3) Projected required employer contributions for the next 30 years; and
- 4) Projected date of insolvency (the year when the pension fund is projected to run out of money to pay retiree benefits).

These measures should be calculated and reported two ways: first according to current state laws governing employer contributions to the funds (i.e., under the current state funding policy), and second under a state funding policy equal to normal cost plus a closed 30-year amortization of the unfunded liability (i.e., what it would take to reach 100% funded in 30 years). Actuarial assumptions for such factors as wage increases, turnover, and investment return will differ among the funds, so the measurements should also include a disclosure of all underlying actuarial assumptions and methods.

2) *Require Benefit Enhancement Reporting*

The Civic Federation recommends that all pension funds be **required to describe any benefit enhancements granted in a given year in their annual financial reports and to calculate the effects of those enhancements on the fund's total liabilities**. Taxpayers deserve to know the costs of benefit enhancements.

REQUIRE STATE GOVERNMENT TO DEVELOP, IMPLEMENT, AND PUBLISH PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

The Civic Federation supports the Public Accountability and Performance System Act, which was established by Public Act 96-0045 in July 2009. The Act encourages state agencies to develop a quality management, accountability, and performance system to improve their delivery of public services. Such a system would include strategic business planning consistent with the goals and priorities of state government, engage stakeholders and customers, and allocate resources based on performance. **The Civic Federation urges the General Assembly to strengthen the Public Accountability and Performance System Act by requiring all state agencies to develop performance management systems.**

Performance measurements should be used to prioritize state spending and should be published in the state budget. The Governor's FY2010 Illinois State Budget book included five years of data for each agency. Some agencies provided efficiency measures, such as cost per unit. However, most agencies showed only output or workload measures. These are counts of the number or percentage of activities undertaken or services delivered but they are not related back to goals. A lack of articulated goals makes it impossible to determine if agencies are meeting, exceeding, or falling short of program and policy targets or if they even have such targets.

REQUIRE THE STATE OF ILLINOIS TO DEVELOP, PUBLISH, AND IMPLEMENT A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The State of Illinois needs to make major capital improvements to its infrastructure in order to promote the state's economic vitality. However, the State has failed to prepare and publicly disclose a comprehensive multi-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) that prioritizes projects based on objective criteria. The General Assembly approved a \$31 billion capital spending plan in 2009 despite the absence of a CIP. The Civic Federation strongly believes that the public deserves serious evaluation of how State money will be used and prioritized **before**, not after, the funds are appropriated.

The Civic Federation agrees with the National Advisory Council on State and Local Budgeting that **all governments should develop a five-year capital improvement plan (CIP) that identifies priorities, provides a timeline for completing projects, and identifies funding sources for projects**. The CIP should be updated annually and have formal approval by the governing body.¹ A formal capital improvement plan includes the following information:

- A five-year summary list of projects, expenditures per project, and funding sources per project;

¹ National Advisory Council on State and Local Budgeting. Recommended Practice 9.6: Develop a Capital Improvement Plan.

- Information about the impact of capital spending on the annual operating budget for each project;
- Brief narrative descriptions of individual projects, including the purpose, need, history, and current status of each project; and
- The time frame for fulfilling capital projects and priorities.

Above all, the capital improvement plan should be predicated on a publicly disclosed needs assessment and prioritization process. In addition, the CIP should be made publicly available for review by elected officials and citizens. It should be published in the budget or as a separate document. The CIP should be also made available on the government's website. The Civic Federation urges the General Assembly to pass legislation requiring the State to develop, publish, and implement a Capital Improvement Plan that is regularly updated and is actively used in the State's planning and budgeting processes.

A good CIP model for the State of Illinois to follow is the State of North Carolina's Capital Improvement Plan. North Carolina passed a law in 1997 requiring the preparation and publication of a CIP that is fully integrated with State financial planning and debt management processes. It includes:

- An inventory of facilities owned by State agencies;
- Criteria used to evaluate capital improvement needs;
- A 6-year Capital Improvement needs inventory; and
- A 6-year CIP.

The plan is submitted to the North Carolina legislature every 2 years.²

CREATE A NEW GOVERNING BOARD FOR THE COOK COUNTY FOREST PRESERVE DISTRICT

The Civic Federation commends **Senator Don Harmon** (D-Oak Park) and **Representative Elaine Nekritz** (D-Northbrook) for their leadership in sponsoring legislation to create a separate governing board for the Cook County Forest Preserve District. We urge the 96th General Assembly to pass **Senate Bill 176** and **House Bill 4559**.

On March 17, 2008, The Civic Federation and Friends of the Forest Preserves published a report calling for the removal of the beleaguered Cook County Forest Preserves from the control of the Cook County Board of Commissioners.³ The report found that the County's dual board structure whereby the Cook County Board of Commissioners also serve as Forest Preserve District Commissioners creates an inherent conflict of interest and inhibits proper oversight of the Forest Preserves of Cook County.

² North Carolina Office of State Budget and Management. *North Carolina Capital Improvement Program: Implementation of the Capital Improvement Planning Act*. Six Year Summary FY2007-FY2013. April 2007.

³ The Civic Federation and Friends of the Forest Preserves, "Forest Preserve District of Cook County: A Call for a Separate Board of Commissioners," Chicago: March 17, 2008. http://www.civicfed.org/articles/civicfed_269.pdf

The Federation and Friends of the Forest Preserves are calling for a new, separate forest preserve board with members that are elected in a **non-partisan election** with a **board president elected among and by the members** of the forest preserve board. A separate board will allow voters to elect Commissioners on the basis of candidates' positions, credentials, experience and interest in the forest preserves.

Currently the Forest Preserve District of Cook County is organized as a separate local government with independent property tax authority, but it shares a board of commissioners with Cook County government. This structure has created an **inherent conflict of interest** for the Commissioners, who have not effectively separated the interests of Cook County and the Forest Preserve District. Many of the current Commissioners have shown a keen interest in promoting economic development and other uses of District property that conflict with the District's core mission to preserve natural land. The Commissioners have frequently placed themselves in the irreconcilable position of choosing Cook County's mission over the forest preserves' needs. The Civic Federation and Friends of the Forest Preserves' report documents many such instances where the County's needs trumped the interests of the forest preserves, including the transfer of \$13.3 million in District capital funds to the County in 2007 to help alleviate the County's budget deficit.

The report found that the County and District's "double-duty" Commissioners spend the vast majority of their time dealing with County issues. These weighty concerns leave little time for the Commissioners to focus on the forest preserves. Commissioners meet far less frequently to discuss Forest Preserve District matters than they do to discuss County matters and provide **less comprehensive oversight**. A separate board of commissioners would be able to focus their attention fully on the forest preserves. At the same time, the County would benefit from having the undivided attention of its Commissioners on pressing financial, health and public safety issues.

The report's findings have led the Civic Federation and the Friends of the Forest Preserves to the unanimous conclusion that **the District has suffered from financial and land management problems because of the conflicts of interest and lack of oversight created by the dual board structure.**

DISSOLVE THE ILLINOIS INTERNATIONAL PORT DISTRICT

The Civic Federation commends **Senator Dan Kotowski** (D-Park Ridge) for introducing **Senate Bill 329**, a bill that would dissolve the Illinois International Port District and transfer its assets and responsibilities to the City of Chicago. The Civic Federation's June 30, 2008 research report on the Port District found that it had shifted its primary focus from port operations to the management of a golf course.⁴ The golf course brings in over half of the District's annual revenue, but there is no evidence that those revenues have been reinvested to improve port facilities or promote commerce. Because **the District is failing to fulfill its principal mission, the Civic Federation calls upon the Illinois General Assembly and Governor to dissolve it.**

⁴The Civic Federation, "A Call for the Dissolution and Restructuring of the Illinois International Port District," Chicago: June 30, 2008. http://www.civicfed.org/articles/civicfed_273.pdf

The Civic Federation analyzed the Illinois International Port District's finances and activities and contrasted them with five comparable ports along the Great Lakes – St. Lawrence Seaway. As a result of this investigation, the Federation is concerned that the District appears to be focused on golf rather than shipping and port operations. Harborside International Golf Center is the Port District's only major construction project since 1981. In contrast, the Federation found that none of the five other ports in the study focus their operations predominantly on recreational activities or entertainment facilities. Port authorities in the other cities derive most of their revenues from activities associated with the normal operations of a port, such as leases, rentals, contracts, and grants.

Of additional concern to the Civic Federation is the fact that the District seriously lacks transparency and openness to the public when compared to other ports and governments. The Civic Federation found that the District did not make information about its finances, Board members, or Board meetings publicly available on its website.⁵

Due to these serious concerns, the Civic Federation calls for the dissolution of the Illinois International Port District. The Federation's report recommends that the City of Chicago assume control of port operations because the City has a clear stake in turning the Port of Chicago into a more vibrant center of maritime commerce and regional economic and industrial development. By reassuming the control of port lands it gave up over fifty years ago, the City of Chicago could provide access to greater financial resources and professional staff that would benefit the port and its mission. After the District has been dissolved, the City of Chicago should consider transferring the District's open lands to the Forest Preserve District of Cook County and its golf courses to the Chicago Park District.

CREATE A STATE COMMISSION TO STUDY MEDICAID FINANCING

The Civic Federation commends **Senator Heather Steans** (D-Chicago), **Representative Patricia Bellock** (R-Westmont) and **Representative Julie Hamos** (D-Evanston) for sponsoring a Senate Joint Resolution that would create a state commission to review the future financing of the Medicaid program (**Senate Joint Resolution 71**). The Senate adopted this resolution on May 30, 2009 and we urge the House of Representatives to adopt it as soon as possible.

Approximately 2.5 million Illinois residents receive some Medicaid services and it is the primary source of medical coverage for more than one out of six residents. Illinois Medicaid expenses grew from \$11.8 billion in FY2005 to \$12.4 billion in FY2008 and likely exceeded \$13 billion in FY2009, or about one-quarter of the state's annual operating appropriations. Yet the state operating budget is difficult to understand as it pertains to Medicaid since it confounds changes in payment cycles, eligibility standards, and inflation-related adjustments built into existing programs. Although the State has received federal stimulus money from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) to support Medicaid services, we expect the State to experience a Medicaid budget gap of at least \$1.3 billion when ARRA expires in 2011.

It is critical that the State launch a review of the future financing of the state's Medicaid program and develop a plan to compensate for the expiration of the federal stimulus program on

⁵ The Port District has subsequently published Board meeting minutes on its website.

December 31, 2010. The State should also create an executive-level Medicaid management group to address program issues that cut across specific agencies and budgetary categories, and issue a comprehensive annual report on Illinois Medicaid that would provide essential data and evaluate the program's operations and effectiveness.

REINSTATE MEANS-TESTED TRANSIT DISCOUNTS FOR SENIORS

The Civic Federation supports the efforts of **Representative Suzanne Bassi** (R-Palatine) and **Senate Minority Leader Christine Radogno** (R-Lemont) to limit the free transit rides currently provided to all residents over age 65 to only those seniors who meet the State's low income guidelines (**House Bill 4654, Senate Bill 2229**). Governor Blagojevich had introduced the provision allowing all seniors to ride free, regardless of need, as part of the negotiations over a major Chicago Transit Authority reform bill passed in January 2008.

According to a study commissioned by the Regional Transportation Authority, the annualized loss from the *Seniors Ride Free* program for 2009 across the three service boards will range between \$25.1 million and \$76.3 million.⁶ The same study projects that the senior population for the Chicago region will double between 2000 and 2030, thereby increasing the annual revenue losses for the program to a range of between \$58.8 million and \$172.7 million, assuming no increase in fares, by 2030.

The across-the-board grant of free rides to seniors, regardless of income, was unfortunate and ill-considered. **There is no sound public policy reason to provide free rides for affluent seniors who are able to pay and help defray the cost of providing public transit.** Consequently, we call on the Illinois General Assembly to repeal the free rides for seniors program and substitute a program that is limited to low income seniors, thereby providing responsible relief to those who truly need it.

REQUIRE ALL COUNTIES TO HOLD BUDGET HEARINGS

The Civic Federation urges the General Assembly to **require that all counties hold at least one public hearing prior to approving the annual budget.** Currently, state statutes require Cook County, municipalities with over 500,000 residents, and school districts residing in these cities to hold public hearings prior to passage of the budget (see Cook County Appropriations, 55 ILCS 5/6-24; Municipal Code – Budget hearing, 65 ILCS 5/8-2-6; School Code, 105 ILCS 5/34-46). We believe that all counties should hold public budget hearings as a matter of transparent and open governance.

REQUIRE LARGE COUNTIES TO PRODUCE TIMELY ANNUAL FINANCIAL AUDITS

State law requires counties with a population over 10,000 but under 500,000 to produce audited financial statements within six months of the close of the fiscal year and submit a financial report to the State Comptroller (55 ILCS 5/6-31003). The state's four largest counties, Cook, DuPage, Lake, and Will, are effectively exempted from these audit requirements. **The Civic Federation**

⁶ Joseph DiJohn, et al. *Analysis of the RTA Seniors and People with Disabilities Ride Free Programs- Review Draft*. September 2009.

urges the General Assembly to amend 55 ILCS 5/6-31003 and eliminate this exemption.

There is no sound public policy reason for exempting large counties from producing timely annual audits and submitting financial reports to the State Comptroller. Delays in releasing county audited financial statements diminish accountability because the public cannot access important financial information needed to assess the government's financial condition in a timely fashion.

ENACT TAX INCREMENT FINANCING REPORTING REFORM

The Civic Federation supports the use of **tax increment financing (TIF)** as a municipal economic development tool. It is an important tool that has generated significant economic development benefits for Illinois municipalities and citizens over time. However, we believe that there is **insufficient transparency in the availability and quality of TIF information provided to the public**. We strongly support enhanced state and local reporting requirements to improve the provision of TIF information available to citizens and policymakers. We urge lawmakers to consider the following TIF reporting reforms:

1. Full financial information about TIF districts, including expenses, revenues, fund balance and debt, should be included in annual county or municipal budgets.
2. Each TIF district should be required to undertake a status report ten years after its inception. The report would be presented and discussed at a public hearing and made publicly available. It would include information on the status of redevelopment projects within the TIF, a review of redevelopment plan goals and objectives, an accounting of TIF revenues and expenditures to date, and relevant evaluation or performance data such as return on investment reports for projects.
3. The State of Illinois should require information about TIF districts and TIF projects be made readily available electronically online. The information should be provided in the same electronic format that is required for local governments under the State of Illinois Fiscal Responsibility Report Card Act. (Currently, reports required by the Office of the State Comptroller are only available in printed form.)
4. Counties and municipalities with TIF districts should make complete information about TIF districts and TIF projects readily available electronically on the Internet in a consistent and easily accessed format. This would include annual financial reports and redevelopment agreements.

REQUIRE SCHOOL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTABILITY REFORMS

In March 2007, The Civic Federation reviewed Illinois school district financial management and accountability oversight structures and procedures for the Accountable Schools Task Force of the Metropolitan Mayors Caucus. The Federation's report also identified best practices and recommended practices in financial management and accountability from national organizations and governments across the nation. In addition, the Civic Federation offered several policy recommendations regarding **steps Illinois should take to ensure greater financial accountability from its school districts by adopting features of the best practices and**

recommended practices that are most applicable to the financial management of school districts.

We commend **Representative Lou Lang** (D-Skokie) for introducing these reforms in **House Bill 4194** in December 2007 and we ask the 96th General Assembly to consider the following school financial management accountability reforms:

Budgeting

a) Budget Format

Executive Summary: Require a “plain English” executive summary of the budget that includes:

1. School district major goals and objectives
2. A discussion of major financial factors and trends affecting the budget such as changes in revenues, enrollment, and debt
3. A description of the budget process
4. An overview of revenues and expenditures for all funds
5. An explanation of significant financial and demographic trends
6. Budget forecast for 3-5 years in the future
7. Student enrollment trends, including a future forecast
8. The number of personnel by type as well as a discussion of personnel changes
9. Changes in debt burden
10. Relevant performance statistics

Full Budget

1. An organizational chart
2. Formal Financial Policies
3. Long Term Financial Plan or a summary of the LTFP
4. Capital Improvement Plan or a summary of the CIP

b) Evaluation

1. Require performance measures for support service functions and programs such as facilities management, food service, administration, etc.

c) Disclosure

1. The Executive Summary should be made publicly available on the internet.
2. At least ten working days should be allowed for public review of the budget prior to the public hearing.
3. The school district Board should be provided with monthly financial reports that summarize financial operations and financial condition in an easy to understand format.

Internal Controls

1. Create a strong internal auditor function.
2. Implement more rigorous external audit requirements.
3. Require school district audit committees.

4. The Illinois State Board of Education should develop a comprehensive set of internal procedures for adoption by school districts.
5. School districts should submit formal, written internal control policies to ISBE.

Planning Formal Financial Policies, Long Term Financial Planning, Capital Improvement Plan

a) Formal Financial Policies

1. School districts should be required to adopt formal financial policies in the following policy areas:
 - Debt Capacity, Issuance, and Management
 - Capital Asset Management
 - Reserve or Stabilization Funds
 - Requiring Periodic Budget to Actual Comparison Reports
 - Fees and Charges
 - The Use of One-Time Revenues
 - Risk Management
 - Purchasing
 - Vehicle Acquisition and Maintenance
2. Require formal approval of the financial policies by school district Board.
3. Make the formal financial policies publicly available in budgets and other documents.

b) Long Term Financial Planning

1. Develop a formal long term financial plan that is updated annually.
2. Include multi-year forecasts of revenues, expenditures and debt in the LTFP. The forecasts should extend over at least a three year period.
3. Make the long term financial plan publicly available by publishing it in the budget or as a separate document and on the government's website. The forecasts that are the foundation of the plan should be available to participants in the budget process before budgetary decisions are made.
4. Provide opportunities for stakeholder input into long term financial planning process.
5. Require annual formal approval of the LTFP by school district Board.

c) Capital Improvement Plan (CIP)

1. Develop a formal five-year Capital Improvement Plan that is updated annually.
2. Require that Capital Improvement Plans include:
 - A five-year summary list of projects and expenditures per project as well as funding sources per project
 - Information about the impact of capital spending on the annual operating budget for each project.
 - Brief narrative descriptions of individual projects, including the purpose, need, history, and current status of each project.
 - The time frame for fulfilling capital projects and priorities.
3. Require that Capital Improvement Plans be made available on the school district's website at least ten working days prior to a public hearing.
4. Each school district should hold a public hearing on the Capital Improvement Plan with opportunities for citizens to present commentary.

5. School district Capital Improvement Plans should be formally adopted by school district Boards.

Financial Management Training for School Board Members

1. The State of Illinois should require all school board members to complete at least six hours of training on their financial oversight, accountability, and fiduciary responsibilities.
2. The training must be completed within a year of their election and could be provided by an Illinois State Board of Education-approved trainer.

Financial Management Accountability Oversight

1. An Office of State School District Financial Management Accountability within the Illinois State Board of Education should be created to monitor progress made by school districts in meeting the statutory requirements of approved reforms.
2. School districts failing to meet approved financial management reform standards within a reasonable, specified timetable would be placed under the direct oversight of the Office of State School District Financial Management Accountability.
3. School districts placed under state supervision would be required to develop a 3-year improvement plan to meet the reform standards.

ISBE would withhold funds from school districts failing to fulfill State financial management accountability requirements and would be empowered to remove and replace non-complying financial management administrators.

REQUIRE PUBLIC SCHOOLS TO REPORT ALL RECEIPTS FROM OUTSIDE FUNDING SOURCES TO THE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Public schools are permitted to raise revenues from non-public sources through fundraisers, grants, and donations. However, the schools are not required to report these revenues to their school districts. This makes it difficult to measure the total financial resources available to public schools. The Civic Federation recommends that schools be required to report all receipts from outside funding sources to their school districts.

AUTHORIZE STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES TO ESTABLISH TRUST FUNDS FOR THE ADVANCE FUNDING OF RETIREE HEALTH CARE

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 45 required state and local governments to report liabilities for Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB), namely retiree health insurance, beginning with their fiscal year 2008 financial statements. Although most governments and pension plans currently fund OPEB on a pay-as-you-go basis, the substantial size of the liabilities reported may lead some to establish trust funds in order to pre-fund their obligations.

The Civic Federation was pleased to support the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District's proposal to establish a trust fund for retiree health care. Public Act 95-0394, enacted in August 2007, created such a fund for the District. The Civic Federation urges the General Assembly to

pass legislation **enabling other entities to create irrevocable trusts for the prudent pre-funding of retiree health insurance obligations.**